

# Argumentation

## ÍNDICE DE AUTORES

### 1997-2021(2)

Autor	Título	Año	Volumen	Número	Páginas
Aakhus, Mark	Neither Naïve nor Critical Reconstruction: Dispute Mediators, Impasse, and the Design of Argumentation.	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 3	p265-290
Aakhus, Mark	Frans H. van Eemeren and Bart Garssen (eds): Topical Themes in Argumentation Theory: Twenty Exploratory Studies. (Book Review).	2014	Vol. 28	Issue 4	p489-492
Aakhus, Mark ; Lewiński, Marcin	Advancing Polylogical Analysis of Large-Scale Argumentation: Disagreement Management in the Fracking Controversy.	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 1	p179-207
Abbott, Don Paul	Edward Schiappa (ed.) Warranting Assent: Case Studies in Argument Evaluation. Albany: State University of New York Press, 1995. xxviii + 330. (Book Review).	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 2	p266-268
Abdollahzadeh, Esmaeel ; Amini Farsani, Mohammad ; Beikmohammadi, Maryam	Argumentative Writing Behavior of Graduate EFL Learners.	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 4	p641-661
Aberdein, Andrew	Virtuous Norms for Visual Arguers.	2018	Vol. 32	Issue 1	p1-23
Aberdein, Andrew	The Uses of Argument in Mathematics.	2005	Vol. 19	Issue 3	p287-301
Aberdein, Andrew	Virtue in Argument.	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 2	p165-179
Aberdein, Andrew	Leonard Nelson: A Theory of Philosophical Fallacies. Translated by Fernando Leal and David Carus (Argumentation Library, Vol. 26) Springer, Cham, Switzerland, 2016, vi + 211 pp. (Review).	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 2	p455-461
Adam, Martin T.	Classes of Agent and the Moral Logic of the Pali Canon.	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 1	p115-124
Adams, John C.	James A. Herrick. The Radical Rhetoric of the English Deists: The Discourse of Skepticism, 1680–1750. Columbia: University of South Carolina Press, 1997, ix + 245. (Book Review).	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 1	p119-120
Adler, J.	Asymmetrical Analogical Arguments.	2007	Vol. 21	Issue 1	p83-92
Adler, Jonathan	Are Conductive Arguments Possible?	2013	Vol. 27	Issue 3	p245-257
Adler, Jonathan E.	Affirming a Straw Man: A Reply to Bowles.	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 1	p17-26

<b>Adler, Jonathan E.</b>	Shedding Dialectical Tiers: A Social-Epistemic View.	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 3	p279-294
<b>Aijaz, Imran ; McKeown-Green, Jonathan ; Webster, Aness</b>	Burdens of Proof and the Case for Unevenness.	2013	Vol. 27	Issue 3	p259-282
<b>Aikin, Scott</b>	Holding One's Own.	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 4	p571-584
<b>Aikin, Scott ; Casey, John</b>	Straw Men, Weak Men, and Hollow Men.	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 1	p87-105
<b>Aikin, Scott ; Talisse, Robert</b>	Modus Tonens.	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 4	p521-529
<b>Alcolea-Banegas, Jesús</b>	Visual Arguments in Film.	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 2	p259-275
<b>Alexy, Robert</b>	Henry Prakken (1997), Logical Tools for Modelling Legal Argument. A Study of Defeasible Reasoning in Law. Dordrecht/Boston/London: Kluwer. (Book Review).	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 1	p66-72
<b>Amelia, Manuti ; Michela, Cortini ; Giuseppe, Mininni</b>	Rhetorical Argumentation in Italian Academic Discourse.	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 1	p101-124
<b>Amjarso, B.; Houtlosser, P.; Lewinski, M.</b>	Bibliography Argumentation Studies 2003.	2007	Vol. 21	Issue 2	p175-196
<b>Amjarso, Bilal</b>	Comments on 'Strategic Maneuvering in Direct to Consumer Drug Advertising: A Study in Argumentation Theory and New Institutional Theory'.	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 3	p373-375
<b>Amjarso, Bilal</b>	Comments 'Strategic Maneuvering through Persuasive Definitions: Implications for Dialectic and Rhetoric'.	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 4	p417-420
<b>Amossy, R.; Koren, R.; Yanoshevsky, G.</b>	Introduction.	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 3	p293-300
<b>Amossy, Ruth</b>	The New Rhetoric's Inheritance. Argumentation and Discourse Analysis.	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 3	p313-324
<b>Andone, C.</b>	Bermejo-Luque, Lilian. Giving Reasons. A Linguistic-Pragmatic Approach to Argumentation Theory. Springer, Argumentation Library, Dordrecht, 2011, volume 20, 209 pp. (Book Review).	2012	Vol. 26	Issue 2	p291-296
<b>Andone, C.</b>	Comments on 'Relevance of Context-Bound Loci to Topical Potential in the Argumentation Stage'.	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 4	p541-543
<b>Andone, C.</b>	Douglas Walton, Dialog Theory for Critical Argumentation. John Benjamins, Amsterdam/Philadelphia, 2007, 307 pp. (Book Review).	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 2	p291-296
<b>Andone, C.</b>	Henrique Jales Ribeiro (Ed.): Rhetoric and Argumentation in the Beginning of the XXIst Century. Coimbra University Press, Coimbra, 2009, 312 pp.	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 4	p513-518
<b>Andone, Corina</b>	Comments on 'Legitimation and Strategic Maneuvering in the Political Field'.	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 3	p419-421

<b>Andone, Corina</b>	Pragmatic Argumentation in European Practices of Political Accountability.	2015	Vol. 29	Issue 1	p1-18
<b>Andone, Corina</b>	Argumentative Patterns in the Political Domain: The Case of European Parliamentary Committees of Inquiry.	2016	Vol. 30	Issue 1	p45-60
<b>Andone, Corina</b>	M. A. Gilbert: Arguing with People. Broadway Press, Canada, 2014, 137 pp. (Book Review).	2018	Vol. 32	Issue 3	p461-462
<b>Andrews, Richard</b>	A Case Study of Argumentation at Undergraduate Level in History.	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 4	p547-558
<b>Andrews, Richard</b>	N. Muller Mirza and A.-N. Perret-Clermont (eds): Argumentation and Education: Theoretical Foundations and Principles. Springer, Dordrecht, 2009. (Book Review).	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 2	p253-254
<b>Andrews, Richard; Hertzberg, Frøydis</b>	Introduction: Special Issue on Argumentation in Education in Scandinavia and England.	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 4	p433-436
<b>Anthony, Robert; Kim, Mijung</b>	Challenges and Remedies for Identifying and Classifying Argumentation Schemes.	2015	Vol. 29	Issue 1	p81-113
<b>Archila, Pablo Antonio</b>	Evaluating Arguments from a Play about Ethics in Science: A Study with Medical Learners.	2018	Vol. 32	Issue 1	p53-76
<b>Arnold, Dan</b>	Transcendental Arguments and Practical Reason in Indian Philosophy.	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 1	p135-147
<b>Asher, Nicholas; Gillies, Anthony</b>	Common Ground, Corrections, and Coordination.	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 4	p481-512
<b>Auriac, Emmanuèle</b>	Variation in the Use of Pronouns as a Function of the Topic of Argumentation in Young Writers Aged 11 Years.	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 2	p273-290
<b>Ayim, Maryann</b>	Just How Correct is Political Correctness? A Critique of the Opposition's Arguments.	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 4	p445-480
<b>Azar, M.</b>	Argumentative Text as Rhetorical Structure: An Application of Rhetorical Structure Theory.	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 1	p97-114
<b>Balter, S.J.</b>	The Search for Grounds in Legal Argumentation: A Rhetorical Analysis of Texas vs Johnson.	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 4	p381-395
<b>Bannet, Eve Tavor</b>	Criticism and Intertranslation: The End of Critique in a Democratic Society.	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 1	p23-34
<b>Barceló Aspeitia, Axel Arturo</b>	Words and Images in Argumentation.	2012	Vol. 26	Issue 3	p355-368
<b>Barceló Aspeitia, Axel Arturo</b>	Whataboutisms and Inconsistency.	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 4	p433-447
<b>Battersby, Mark; Bailin, Sharon</b>	Critical Inquiry: Considering the Context.	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 2	p243-253

<b>Baumtrog, Michael D.</b>	Reasoning and Arguing, Dialectically and Dialogically, Among Individual and Multiple Participants.	2018	Vol. 32	Issue 1	p77-98
<b>Benoit, William L.</b>	Rita Kirk Whillock and David Slayden (Eds.). Hate Speech. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications, 1995, 294 + xvi pp. (Book Review).	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 3	p381-382
<b>Benoit, William L.; Klyukovski, Andrew</b>	A Functional Analysis of 2004 Ukrainian Presidential Debates.	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 2	p209-225
<b>Berbrier, Mitchell</b>	From Logos to Pathos in Social Psychology and Academic Argumentation: Reconciling Postmodernism and Positivism in a Sociology of Persuasion.	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 1	p35-50
<b>Beresheim, Daniel</b>	Christopher W. Tindale: The Philosophy of Argument and Audience Reception. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2015, XII + 244 pp. (Review).	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 2	p451-454
<b>Bermejo-Luque, Lilian</b>	Intrinsic Versus Instrumental Values of Argumentation: The Rhetorical Dimension of Argumentation.	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 4	p453-474
<b>Bermejo-Luque, Lilian</b>	Second Order Intersubjectivity: The Dialectical Dimension of Argumentation.	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 1	p85-105
<b>Bermejo-Luque, Lilian; Corredor, Cristina</b>	Introduction for a Special Volume of Argumentation on Presumptions, Presumptive Inferences and Burdens of Proof.	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 3	p463-467
<b>Bertea, Stefano</b>	Does Arguing from Coherence Make Sense?	2005	Vol. 19	Issue 4	p433-446
<b>Bertea, Stefano</b>	Certainty, Reasonableness and Argumentation in Law.	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 4	p465-478
<b>Bertocchi, Alessandra</b>	Antonyms and Paradoxes.	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 1	p113-122
<b>Betz, Gregor</b>	The Vicious Circle Theorem – a Graph-Theoretical Analysis of Dialectical Structures.	2005	Vol. 19	Issue 1	p53-64
<b>Bex, Floris; Verheij, Bart</b>	Solving a Murder Case by Asking Critical Questions: An Approach to Fact-Finding in Terms of Argumentation and Story Schemes.	2012	Vol. 26	Issue 3	p325-353
<b>Billig, Michael</b>	Rhetoric and the Unconscious.	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 2	p199-216
<b>Binkley, Robert W.</b>	Ralph H. Johnson and J. Anthony Blair (eds.) New Essays in Informal Logic, Windsor, Ontario, Canada: Informal Logic, 1994. (Book Review).	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 2	p259-262
<b>Binkley, Robert W.</b>	Johan van Benthem, Frans H. van Eemeren, Rob Grootendorst and Frank Veltman (eds.), Logic and Argumentation (1996). Amsterdam: North-Holland. (Book Review).	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 4	p508-512

<b>Birrer, Frans A.J.</b>	Expert Advice and Argumentation: Some Remarks on the Work of Douglas Walton.	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 3	p267-276
<b>Blair, Anthony</b>	D. N. Walton, <i>Argumentation Schemes for Presumptive Reasoning</i> . Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 1996, xiv + 218 pp. ISBN 0-8058-2072-8. Blair, Anthony. (Book Review).	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 3	p338-343
<b>Blair, Carole; Balthrop, V.; Michel, Neil</b>	The Arguments of the Tombs of the Unknown: Relationality and National Legitimation.	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 4	p449-468
<b>Blair, J. Anthony</b>	The Limits of the Dialogue Model of Argument.	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 2	p325-339
<b>Blair, J. Anthony</b>	Probative Norms for Multimodal Visual Arguments.	2015	Vol. 29	Issue 2	p217-233
<b>Blair, J. Anthony</b>	A Defense of Conduction: A Reply to Adler.	2016	Vol. 30	Issue 2	p109-128
<b>Blair, J. Anthony</b>	Narration as Argument. Paula Olmos, Editor. Springer, <i>Argumentation Library 31</i> , Cham, Switzerland, 2017, xii, pp. 1–234, ISBN: 978-3-319-56882-9. (Book Review).	2019	Vol. 33	Issue 1	p137-145
<b>Blair, J. Anthony</b>	Walton's Argumentation Schemes for Presumptive Reasoning: A Critique and Development.	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 4	p365-379
<b>Blair, J. Anthony</b>	Argumentation as Rational Persuasion.	2012	Vol. 26	Issue 1	p71-81
<b>Blassnig, Sina; Büchel, Florin; Ernst, Nicole; Engesser, Sven</b>	Populism and Informal Fallacies: An Analysis of Right-Wing Populist Rhetoric in Election Campaigns.	2019	Vol. 33	Issue 1	p107-136
<b>Bodlović, Petar</b>	Dialogical Features of Presumptions: Difficulties for Walton's New Dialogical Theory.	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 3	p513-534
<b>Bodlović, Petar</b>	Presumptions, and How They Relate to Arguments from Ignorance.	2019	Vol. 33	Issue 4	p579-604
<b>Bodlović, Petar</b>	On the Differences Between Practical and Cognitive Presumptions.	2021	Vol. 35	Issue 2	p287-320
<b>Boger, George</b>	Subordinating Truth – Is Acceptability Acceptable?	2005	Vol. 19	Issue 2	p187-238
<b>Bohlin, Henrik</b>	Perspective-dependence and Critical Thinking.	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 2	p189-203
<b>Bonevac, Daniel</b>	Pragma-dialectics and Beyond.	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 4	p451-459
<b>Bonafous, Simone</b>	Marianne Doury, <i>Le débat immobile. L'argumentation dans le débat médiatique sur les parasciences</i> . Paris: Kimé, 1997. (Book Review).	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 4	p507-508
<b>Bons, J.A.E.</b>	Poulakos, Takis (1997), <i>Speaking for the Polis</i> . Isocrates' Rhetorical Education. SC: University of South Carolina Press.	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 3	p363-364

	ISBN 1-57003-177-0. (Book Review).				
<b>Boogaart, Ronny; Jansen, Henrike; Leeuwen, Maarten van</b>	"Those are Your Words, Not Mine!" Defence Strategies for Denying Speaker Commitment.	2021	Vol. 35	Issue 2	p209-235
<b>Botting, David</b>	Johnson and the Soundness Doctrine.	2016	Vol. 30	Issue 4	p501-525
<b>Botting, David</b>	Can 'Big' Questions be Begged?	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 1	p23-36
<b>Botting, David</b>	What is a Sophistical Refutation?	2012	Vol. 26	Issue 2	p213-232
<b>Botting, David</b>	Fallacies of Accident.	2012	Vol. 26	Issue 2	p267-289
<b>Botting, David</b>	A Priori Abduction.	2013	Vol. 27	Issue 2	p167-181
<b>Botting, David</b>	The Logical Evaluation of Arguments.	2016	Vol. 30	Issue 2	p167-180
<b>Botting, David</b>	Toulmin's Logical Types.	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 2	p433-449
<b>Boudry, Maarten; Paglieri, Fabio; Pigliucci, Massimo</b>	The Fake, the Flimsy, and the Fallacious: Demarcating Arguments in Real Life.	2015	Vol. 29	Issue 4	p431-456
<b>Braet, A. C.</b>	The Common Topic in Aristotle's Rhetoric: Precursor of the Argumentation Scheme.	2005	Vol. 19	Issue 1	p65-83
<b>Braet, Antoine C.</b>	The Oldest Typology of Argumentation Schemes.	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 1	p127-148
<b>Brassart, Dominique Guy</b>	Sebastian McEvoy (ed.), <i>L'invention défensive. Poétique, linguistique, droit.</i> Paris: Editions Métailié (1995). ISBN 2-86424-203-6 (382 pp). (Book Review).	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 1	p126-126
<b>Braybrooke, David</b>	Introduction to Special Issue of Argumentation Originating in a Conference at The University of Texas at Austin.	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 4	p361-363
<b>Braybrooke, David</b>	Toward an Alliance Between the Issue-Processing Approach and Pragma-Dialectical Analysis.	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 4	p513-535
<b>Brown, Richard Harvey</b>	Editor's Introduction.	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 1	p3-7
<b>Brown, Richard Harvey</b>	New Roles for Rhetoric: From Academic Critique to Civic Affirmation.	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 1	p9-22
<b>Brumark, Åsa</b>	"Eat your Hamburger!"—"No, I don't Want to!" Argumentation and Argumentative Development in the Context of Dinner Conversation in Twenty Swedish Families.	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 2	p251-271
<b>Bruner, Michael Lane</b>	Rationality, Reason and the History of Thought.	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 2	p185-208
<b>Budzynska, Katarzyna; Araszkievicz, Michał; Bogolebska, Barbara [et al].</b>	The Polish School of Argumentation: A Manifesto.	2014	Vol. 28	Issue 3	p267-282

<b>Budzynska, Katarzyna; Koszowy, Marcin</b>	Introduction: Argument Studies in Poland.	2014	Vol. 28	Issue 3	p259-266
<b>Budzynska, Katarzyna; Witek, Maciej</b>	Non-Inferential Aspects of Ad Hominem and Ad Baculum.	2014	Vol. 28	Issue 3	p301-315
<b>Burnette, Ann</b>	Review of Argumentation in Actual Practice: Topical Studies About Argumentative Discourse in Context, eds. Frans H. van Eemeren and Bart Garssen. (Book Review).	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 4	p543-545
<b>Cabezón, José Ignacio</b>	Buddhist Narratives of the Great Debates.	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 1	p71-92
<b>Cala Carrillo, M. Jesús; De la Mata Benítez, Manuel L.</b>	Educational Background, Modes of Discourse and Argumentation: Comparing Women and Men.	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 4	p403-426
<b>Campolo, Chris; Turner, Dale</b>	Editor's Introduction.	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 1	p1-2
<b>Campolo, Chris; Turner, Dale</b>	Reasoning Together: Temptations, Dangers, and Cautions.	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 1	p3-20
<b>Canale, Damiano; Tuzet, Giovanni</b>	What is the Reason for This Rule? An Inferential Account of the Ratio Legis.	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 2	p197-210
<b>Capone, Alessandro</b>	Stati, Sorin, Principi di analisi argomentativa (2002). Bologna: Patron. 180 pp. (Book Review).	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 3	p347-350
<b>Capone, Alessandro</b>	Piazza's La retorica di Aristotele: Francesca Piazza, La Retorica di Aristotele, Introduzione alla lettura, Roma, Carocci, 2008. (Book Review).	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 1	p135-137
<b>Caravello, John</b>	Breaking Out of the Circle.	2018	Vol. 32	Issue 1	p37-52
<b>Carel, Marion</b>	Narrative and Persuasion in Victor Hugo's Claude Gueux.	2012	Vol. 26	Issue 1	p143-159
<b>Carrascal, Begoña</b>	Proofs, Mathematical Practice and Argumentation.	2015	Vol. 29	Issue 3	p305-324
<b>Cattani, Adelino</b>	Ruggero Morresi, Argomentazione e dialettica. Tra logica hegeliana e "Nouvelle rhétorique". Il Calamo, Roma, 1995, 132 pp., £ 24.000. (Book Review).	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 2	p269-274
<b>Causey, Robert L.</b>	Computational Dialogic Defeasible Reasoning.	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 4	p421-450
<b>Champagne, Marc; Pietarinen, Ahti-Veikko</b>	Why Images Cannot be Arguments, But Moving Ones Might.	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 2	p207-236
<b>Cheng, Martha S.; Johnstone, Barbara</b>	Reasons for Reason-giving in a Public-Opinion Survey.	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 4	p401-420
<b>Chichi, Graciela Marta</b>	The Greek Roots of the Ad Hominem-Argument.	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 3	p333-348
<b>Chimovitz, David</b>	David Bohm (1996), On Dialogue. New York: Routledge.	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 1	p125-127
<b>Christmann, Ursula; Mischo, Christoph; Flender, Jürgen</b>	Argumentational Integrity: A Training Program for Dealing with Unfair Argumentative Contributions.	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 4	p339-360
<b>Cionea, Ioana A.; Piercy, Cameron W.; Bostwick, Eryn N.; Wilson</b>	Argumentative Competence in Friend and Stranger Dyadic	2019	Vol. 33	Issue 4	p465-487

<b>Mumpower, Stacie</b>	Exchanges.				
<b>Cionea, Ioana A.; Richards, Adam S.; Straub, Sara K.</b>	Factors Predicting the Intent to Engage in Arguments in Close Relationships: A Revised Model.	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 1	p121-163
<b>Ciurria, Michelle; Altamimi, Khameiel</b>	Argumentum ad Verecundiam: New Gender-based Criteria for Appeals to Authority.	2014	Vol. 28	Issue 4	p437-452
<b>Coffin, Caroline</b>	Contemporary Educational Argumentation: A Multimodal Perspective.	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 4	p513-530
<b>Collins, Harry; Weinel, Martin</b>	Transmuted Expertise: How Technical Non-Experts Can Assess Experts and Expertise.	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 3	p401-413
<b>Cooke, Maeve</b>	Argumentation and Transformation.	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 1	p81-110
<b>Corneli, Joseph; Martin, Ursula; Murray-Rust, Dave; Rino Nesin, Gabriela; Pease, Alison</b>	Argumentation Theory for Mathematical Argument.	2019	Vol. 33	Issue 2	p173-214
<b>Corner, Adam; Hahn, Ulrike</b>	Evaluating the Meta-Slope: Is there a Slippery Slope Argument against Slippery Slope Arguments?	2007	Vol. 21	Issue 4	p349-359
<b>Corner, Adam; Hahn, Ulrike</b>	Message Framing, Normative Advocacy and Persuasive Success.	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 2	p153-163
<b>Corredor, Cristina</b>	Presumptions in Speech Acts.	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 3	p573-589
<b>Craig, Robert T.; Sanusi, Alena L.</b>	I'm Just Saying . . .': Discourse Markers of Standpoint Continuity.	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 4	p425-445
<b>Cramer, Peter</b>	Story Problems: Where Do the Agonists of the Dialogue Model of Argument Interact?	2016	Vol. 30	Issue 2	p129-144
<b>Cros, Anna</b>	Teaching by Convincing: Strategies of Argumentation in Lectures.	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 2	p191-206
<b>Croucher, Stephen M.; Demaris, Alfred; Diers-Lawson, Audra R.; Roper, Shannon</b>	Self-Reporting and the Argumentativeness Scale: An Empirical Examination.	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 1	p23-43
<b>Cummings, Louise</b>	Hilary Putnam's Dialectical Thinking: An Application to Fallacy Theory.	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 2	p197-230
<b>Cummings, Louise</b>	Formal Dialectic in Fallacy Inquiry: An Unintelligible Circumscription of Argumentative Rationality?	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 2	p161-184
<b>Cummings, Louise</b>	Analogical Reasoning as a Tool of Epidemiological Investigation.	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 4	p427-444
<b>Cummings, Louise</b>	Argument as Cognition: A Putnamian Criticism of Dale Hample's Cognitive Conception of Argument.	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 3	p331-348
<b>Cummings, Louise</b>	Rejecting the Urge to Theorise in Fallacy Inquiry.	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 1	p61-94



<b>Cummings, Louise</b>	Giving Science a Bad Name: Politically and Commercially Motivated Fallacies in BSE Inquiry.	2005	Vol. 19	Issue 2	p123-143
<b>Cummings, Louise</b>	Emerging Infectious Diseases: Coping with Uncertainty.	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 2	p171-188
<b>Cusick, Carolyn; Peter, Mark</b>	The Last Straw Fallacy: Another Causal Fallacy and Its Harmful Effects.	2015	Vol. 29	Issue 4	p457-474
<b>Czubaroff, Jeanine</b>	The Public Dimension Of Scientific Controversies.	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 1	p51-74
<b>Dahlman, Christian</b>	Unacceptable Generalizations in Arguments on Legal Evidence.	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 1	p83-99
<b>Damele, Giovanni</b>	Henrique Jales Ribeiro: Retórica, Argumentação e Filosofia. Estudos Sistemáticos e Histórico-Filosóficos. MinervaCoimbra, Coimbra, 2016, pp. 171. (Book Review).	2019	Vol. 33	Issue 2	p297-299
<b>Danblon, Emmanuelle</b>	The Notion of Pseudo-Argument in Perelman’s Thought.	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 3	p351-359
<b>Danblon, Emmanuelle</b>	Dissuasion as a Rhetorical Technique of Creating a General Disposition to Inaction.	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 1	p1-9
<b>Danisch, Robert</b>	Rhetorical Structures, Deliberative Ecologies, and the Conditions for Democratic Argumentation.	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 3	p339-353
<b>David Godden</b>	Modeling Corroborative Evidence: Inference to the Best Explanation as Counter-Rebuttal.	2014	Vol. 28	Issue 2	p187-220
<b>de Donato Rodríguez, Xavier; Zamora Bonilla, Jesús</b>	Scientific Controversies and the Ethics of Arguing and Belief in the Face of Rational Disagreement.	2014	Vol. 28	Issue 1	p36-95
<b>De Mesel, Benjamin</b>	How Morality Can Be Absent from Moral Arguments.	2016	Vol. 30	Issue 4	p443-463
<b>Debowska-Kozłowska, Kamila</b>	Processing Topics from the Beneficial Cognitive Model in Partially and Over-Successful Persuasion Dialogues.	2014	Vol. 28	Issue 3	p325-339
<b>Degano, Chiara</b>	Dissociation and Presupposition in Discourse: A Corpus Study.	2007	Vol. 21	Issue 4	p361-378
<b>Delicath, John W.; Deluca, Kevin Michael</b>	Image Events, the Public Sphere, and Argumentative Practice: The Case of Radical Environmental Groups.	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 3	p315-334
<b>Demir, Yeliz; Hample, Dale</b>	A Cross-Cultural Study of Argument Orientations of Turkish and American College Students: Is Silence Really Golden and Speech Silver for Turkish Students?	2019	Vol. 33	Issue 4	p521-540
<b>Depew, David</b>	The New Philosophy of Science and Its Lessons.	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 1	p9-20
<b>Deppermann, Arnulf</b>	Semantic Shifts in Argumentative Processes: A Step Beyond the ‘Fallacy of Equivocation’.	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 1	p17-30
<b>Di Bello, Marcello; Verheij, Bart</b>	Douglas Walton: Argument Evaluation and Evidence. Springer,	2018	Vol. 32	Issue 2	p301-307

	2016, 286 pp. (Book Review).				
<b>Dichy, Joseph</b>	Maroun Aouad, Averroès (Ibn Rus'd), Commentaire moyen à la Rhétorique d'Aristote. Édition critique du texte arabe et traduction française. – Vol. I: Introduction générale. Vol. II: Édition et traduction. – Vol. III: Commentaire du Commentaire. Paris: Vrin, "Textes et traditions" series, 3 volumes, 2002: X, 501 pp.; 352 pp. (2); 450 pp. (Book Review).	2007	Vol. 21	Issue 2	p197-203
<b>Dichy, Joseph</b>	Maroun Aouad, Averroès (Ibn Rušd), Commentaire moyen à la Rhétorique d'Aristote. Edition critique du texte arabe et traduction française. Vol. I: Introduction générale. Vol. II: Edition et traduction. Vol. III: Commentaire du Commentaire. Vrin, Paris, "Textes et traditions" series, 3 Volumes, 2002, X, 501 pp; 352 pp (32); 450 pp. (Book Review).	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 2	p297-303
<b>Dimock, Aaron</b>	Styles of Rejection in Local Public Argument on Iraq.	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 4	p423-452
<b>Dolinina, Inga B.</b>	'Theoretical' and 'Empirical' Reasoning Modes from the Neurological Perspective.	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 2	p117-134
<b>Dolinina, Inga B.; Cecchetto, Vittorina</b>	Facework and Rhetorical Strategies in Intercultural Argumentative Discourse.	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 2	p167-182
<b>Dougherty, M. V.</b>	The Comparative Set Fallacy.	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 2	p213-222
<b>Dougherty, M. V.</b>	The Pernicious Effects of Compression Plagiarism on Scholarly Argumentation.	2019	Vol. 33	Issue 3	p391-412
<b>Doury, Marianne</b>	Nyan, Thanh (1998). Metalinguistic Operators. With Reference to French. Bern: Peter Lang. (Book Review).	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 1	p121-125
<b>Doury, Marianne</b>	Emmanuelle Danblon, Emmanuel de Jonge, Ekaterina Kissina & Loïc Nicolas (eds): Review of Argumentation et narration. Editions of the University of Brussels, 2008. (Book Review).	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 2	p255-257
<b>Doury, Marianne</b>	Ekkehard Eggs (HG.) (2002). Topoi, Discours, Arguments. Stuttgart: Franz Steiner Verlag. (Book Review).	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 4	p479-482
<b>Doury, Marianne</b>	Preaching to the Converted. Why Argue When Everyone Agrees?	2012	Vol. 26	Issue 1	p99-114
<b>Doury, Marianne; Damette, Eliane</b>	Benoît Frydman and Michel Meyer (eds): Chaïm Perelman (1912- 2012)- De la Nouvelle Rhétorique à la Logique Juridique. Presses Universitaires de France (coll. L'interrogation philosophique), Paris, 2012. (Book Review).	2013	Vol. 27	Issue 4	p445-451

<b>Dowell, John; Asgari-Targhi, Marzieh</b>	Learning by Arguing About Evidence and Explanations.	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 2	p217-233
<b>Dreyfus, Georges B.</b>	What is Debate for? The Rationality of Tibetan Debates and the Role of Humor.	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 1	p43-58
<b>Duarte d'Almeida, Luís; Michelin, Cláudio</b>	The Structure of Arguments by Analogy in Law.	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 2	p359-393
<b>Dufour, Michel</b>	Old and New Fallacies in Port-Royal Logic.	2019	Vol. 33	Issue 2	p241-267
<b>Dzialo, Michael G.</b>	Legal and Philosophical Fictions: At the Line Where the Two Become One.	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 2	p217-232
<b>Eckstein, Justin</b>	Radiolab's Sound Strategic Maneuvers.	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 4	p663-680
<b>Eemeren, F. H. van</b>	Special Issue on Strategic Maneuvering in Institutional Contexts Dedicated to Peter Houtlosser (1956–2008).	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 3	p305-315
<b>Eemeren, F. H. van</b>	Identifying Argumentative Patterns: A Vital Step in the Development of Pragma-Dialectics.	2016	Vol. 30	Issue 1	p1-23
<b>Eemeren, F. H. van</b>	Argumentative Style: A Complex Notion.	2019	Vol. 33	Issue 2	p153-171
<b>Eemeren, F. H. van</b>	In What Sense Do Modern Argumentation Theories Relate to Aristotle? The Case of Pragma-Dialectics.	2013	Vol. 27	Issue 1	p49-70
<b>Eemeren, F. H. van; Grootendorst, Rob</b>	A Pragma-dialectical Procedure for a Critical Discussion.	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 4	p365-386
<b>Eemeren, F. H. van; Houtlosser, Peter</b>	The Development of the Pragma-dialectical Approach to Argumentation.	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 4	p387-403
<b>Eemeren, F. H. van; Houtlosser, Peter</b>	Preface.	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 4	p377-380
<b>Eemeren, F. H. van; Houtlosser, Peter</b>	Strategic Maneuvering: A Synthetic Recapitulation.	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 4	p381-392
<b>Eemeren, F. H. van; Houtlosser, Peter</b>	Countering Fallacious Moves.	2007	Vol. 21	Issue 3	p243-252
<b>Eemeren, Frans H. van</b>	In Context.	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 2	p141-161
<b>Eemeren, Frans H. van</b>	The Pragma-Dialectical Theory Under Discussion.	2012	Vol. 26	Issue 4	p439-457
<b>Eemeren, Frans H. van</b>	In Memoriam Rob Grootendorst (1944–2000).	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 3	pii-v
<b>Eemeren, Frans H. van; Garssen, Bart; Meuffels, Bert</b>	Effectiveness Through Reasonableness Preliminary Steps to Pragma-Dialectical Effectiveness Research.	2012	Vol. 26	Issue 1	p33-53
<b>Eemeren, Frans H. van; Grootendorst, Rob; Wenzel, Joseph W.; Woods, John</b>	Editorial.	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 1	p1-2
<b>Eemeren, Frans H. van; Houtlosser, Peter</b>	Introduction.	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 3	p201-203
<b>Eemeren, Frans H. van; Houtlosser, Peter</b>	Rhetorical Analysis Within a Pragma-Dialectical Framework.	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 3	p293-305

<b>Endres, Danielle</b>	Animist Intersubjectivity as Argumentation: Western Shoshone and Southern Paiute Arguments Against a Nuclear Waste Site at Yucca Mountain.	2013	Vol. 27	Issue 2	p183-200
<b>Fahnestock, Jeanne</b>	Rhetorical Perspectives on Argumentation: Selected Essays by David Zarefsky. Heidelberg: Springer, 2014, pp. xix, 265. (Review).	2016	Vol. 30	Issue 2	p207-211
<b>Fahnestock, Jeanne</b>	Rhetorical Citizenship and the Science of Science Communication.	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 3	p371-387
<b>Farrell, Thomas B.</b>	Sizing Things Up: Colloquial Reflection as Practical Wisdom.	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 1	p1-14
<b>Farrell, Thomas B.</b>	Tarla Rai Peterson, Sharing the Earth: the Rhetoric of Sustainable Development, University of South Carolina Press, 1997. ISBN 1-57003-173-8 (238 pp.). (Book Review).	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 4	p402-406
<b>Feng, Jieyun; Zhao, Fan; Feng, Aiqing</b>	Strategic Manoeuvring by Dissociation in Corporate Crisis Communication: The Case of the 2017 United Airlines' Passenger Dragging-Off Incident.	2021	Vol. 35	Issue 2	p321-338
<b>Ferguson, Kenneth G.</b>	Monotonicity in Practical Reasoning.	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 3	p335-346
<b>Feteris, E. T.; Grootendorst, R.; Houtlosser, P.</b>	Bibliography Argumentation Studies 1998.	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 2	p181-194
<b>Feteris, E.T.</b>	A Survey of 25 Years of Research on Legal Argumentation.	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 3	p355-376
<b>Feteris, E.T.; Grootendorst, R.; Houtlosser, P.</b>	Bibliography Argumentation Studies 1995.	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 2	p237-258
<b>Feteris, E.T.; Grootendorst, R.; Houtlosser, P.</b>	Bibliography Argumentation Studies 1996.	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 3	p407-420
<b>Feteris, E.T.; Grootendorst, R.; Houtlosser, P.</b>	Bibliography Argumentation Studies 1997.	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 2	p221-232
<b>Feteris, E.T.; Houtlosser, P.</b>	Bibliography Argumentation Studies 1999.	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 3	p347-362
<b>Feteris, E.T.; Houtlosser, P.</b>	Bibliography Argumentation Studies 2000.	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 2	p231-246
<b>Feteris, E.T.; Houtlosser, P.</b>	Bibliography Argumentation Studies 2001.	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 4	p537-560
<b>Feteris, Eveline</b>	Comments on 'Rhetoric and Dialectic: Some Historical and Legal Perspectives'.	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 3	p235-239
<b>Feteris, Eveline</b>	The Pragma-Dialectical Analysis and Evaluation of Teleological Argumentation in a Legal Context.	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 4	p489-506
<b>Feteris, Eveline</b>	The Rational Reconstruction of Complex Forms of Legal Argumentation: Approaches from Artificial Intelligence and Law and Pragma-Dialectics.	2005	Vol. 19	Issue 4	p393-400
<b>Feteris, Eveline</b>	The Rational Reconstruction of Argumentation Referring to	2005	Vol. 19	Issue 4	p459-470

	Consequences and Purposes in the Application of Legal Rules: A Pragma-Dialectical Perspective.				
<b>Feteris, Eveline T.</b>	A Pragma-Dialectical Approach of the Analysis and Evaluation of Pragmatic Argumentation in a Legal Context.	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 3	p349-368
<b>Feteris, Eveline T.</b>	Millgram, Elijah (ed.), Varieties of Practical Reasoning (2001). Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press. (Book Review).	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 2	p251-256
<b>Feteris, Eveline T.</b>	Strategic Maneuvering with the Intention of the Legislator in the Justification of Judicial Decisions.	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 3	p335-353
<b>Feteris, Eveline T.</b>	Prototypical Argumentative Patterns in a Legal Context: The Role of Pragmatic Argumentation in the Justification of Judicial Decisions.	2016	Vol. 30	Issue 1	p61-79
<b>Finocchiaro, Maurice</b>	Arguments, Meta-arguments, and Metadialogues: A Reconstruction of Krabbe, Govier, and Woods.	2007	Vol. 21	Issue 3	p253-268
<b>Finocchiaro, Maurice</b>	John Woods: Errors of Reasoning: Naturalizing the Logic of Inference (Studies in Logic, Vol. 45). College Publications, London, 2013, xviii + 572 pp, \$23.41, ISBN: 978-1-84890-114-8. (Book Review).	2014	Vol. 28	Issue 2	p231-239
<b>Finocchiaro, Maurice</b>	Eugene Garver, For the Sake of Argument: Practical Reasoning, Character, and the Ethics of Belief. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 2004, Xi + 272 pp. (Book Review).	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 1	p109-114
<b>Finocchiaro, Maurice A.</b>	The Port-Royal Logic's Theory of Argument.	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 4	p393-410
<b>Finocchiaro, Maurice A.</b>	Ronald H. Carpenter, History as Rhetoric: Style, Narrative, and Persuasion. Columbia: University of South Carolina Press, 1995. 350 pp. N.p. (Book Review).	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 2	p263-265
<b>Finocchiaro, Maurice A.</b>	Andrea Rocci: Modality in Argumentation—A Semantic Investigation of the Role of Modalities in the Structure of Arguments with an Application to Italian Modal Expressions. Springer, Dordrecht, 2017, xii+488 pp, \$109.00, ISBN: 978-94-024-1061-7. (Book Review).	2018	Vol. 32	Issue 4	p603-607
<b>Fisher, Alec</b>	Robert H. Ennis (1996), Critical Thinking. Prentice Hall (ISBN 0-13-374711-5) (xxiii + 407 pp.). (Book Review).	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 1	p48-50
<b>Fleming, David</b>	The Space of Argumentation: Urban Design, Civic Discourse, and the Dream of the Good City.	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 2	p147-166
<b>Freeman, J. B.</b>	Weinstein, Mark: Logic, Truth and Inquiry. College Publications, London, 2013. (Review).	2015	Vol. 29	Issue 3	p361-377

<b>Freeman, James B.</b>	What Types of Statements are There?	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 2	p135-158
<b>Freeman, James B.</b>	Argument Structure and Disciplinary Perspective.	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 4	p397-423
<b>Freeman, James B.</b>	Systematizing Toulmin's Warrants: An Epistemic Approach.	2005	Vol. 19	Issue 3	p331-346
<b>Freeman, James B.</b>	J. Anthony Blair (2012): Groundwork in the Theory of Argumentation. Selected Papers of J. Anthony Blair. (Book Review).	2012	Vol. 26	Issue 4	p505-527
<b>Freeman, James B.</b>	Factors for Evaluating Presumptions and Presumptive Inferences.	2019	Vol. 33	Issue 2	p215-240
<b>Frogel, S.</b>	Philosophical Argumentation: Logic and Rhetoric.	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 2	p171-188
<b>Frogel, Shai</b>	Who is the Addressee of Philosophical Argumentation?	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 3	p397-408
<b>Gage, J.T.</b>	The Promise of Reason: The New Rhetoric after 50 Years.	2007	Vol. 21	Issue 1	p1-1
<b>Gama, Raymundo</b>	The Nature and the Place of Presumptions in Law and Legal Argumentation.	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 3	p555-572
<b>Gambra Gutiérrez, José Miguel</b>	The Topoi from the Greater, the Lesser and the Same Degree: An Essay on the σύγκρισις in Aristotle's Topics.	2012	Vol. 26	Issue 4	p413-437
<b>Garcea, Alessandro</b>	Paradoxes in Aulus Gellius.	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 1	p87-98
<b>García-Hernández, Benjamín</b>	Paradoxes in the Argumentation of the Comic Double and Classemic Contradiction.	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 1	p99-112
<b>Garrett, Mary M.</b>	Chinese Buddhist Religious Disputation.	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 2	p195-210
<b>Garssen, Bart</b>	Comments on 'Arguing 'for' the Patient. Informed Consent and Strategic Maneuvering in Doctor–Patient Interaction'.	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 3	p433-435
<b>Garssen, Bart</b>	Kienpointner, Manfred (1996). Vernünftig argumentieren. Regeln und Techniken der Diskussion [Reasonable argumentation. Rules and techniques of discussion]. Reinbek bei Hamburg: Rowohlt. (Book Review).	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 2	p259-262
<b>Garssen, Bart</b>	Problem-Solving Argumentative Patterns in Plenary Debates of the European Parliament.	2016	Vol. 30	Issue 1	p25-43
<b>Garver, Eugene</b>	Maurice Finocchiaro (1997). Galileo on the World Systems: A New Abridged Translation and Guide. Berkeley/Los Angeles/London: University of California Press. (Book Review).	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 3	p335-337
<b>Garver, Eugene</b>	Comments on 'Rhetorical Analysis Within a Pragma-Dialectical Framework.	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 3	p307-314

<b>Garver, Eugene</b>	Science and Teaching Reasoning.	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 1	p1-7
<b>Gascón, José</b>	Brothers in Arms: Virtue and Pragma-Dialectics.	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 4	p705-724
<b>Gascón, José Ángel</b>	Virtuous Arguers: Responsible and Reliable.	2018	Vol. 32	Issue 2	p155-173
<b>Gelfert, Axel</b>	Expertise, Argumentation, and the End of Inquiry.	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 3	p297-312
<b>Geudens, Christophe</b>	Eddo Rigotti and Sara Greco: Inference in Argumentation. A Topics-Based Approach to Argument Schemes: 2019, Springer, Cham. (Book Review).	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 3	p399-402
<b>Geudens, Christophe</b>	Correction to: Eddo Rigotti and Sara Greco: Inference in Argumentation. A Topics-Based Approach to Argument Schemes. (Book Review).	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 3	p403-403
<b>Giaretta, Pierdaniele; Spolaore, Giuseppe</b>	Validity and Effectiveness of Ambiguity: A Famous Argument by Socrates.	2012	Vol. 26	Issue 3	p393-407
<b>Giere, Ronald N.</b>	A New Framework for Teaching Scientific Reasoning.	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 1	p21-33
<b>Gilbert, Michael A.</b>	The Kisceral: Reason and Intuition in Argumentation.	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 2	p163-170
<b>Gilbert, Michael A.</b>	Gary Colwell (1996). You won't believe this, but . . . Responding to student complaints and excuses. Calgary, Alberta: Detselig Enterprises. (Book Review).	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 3	p391-392
<b>Gilbert, Michael A.</b>	Emotional Messages.	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 3	p239-250
<b>Gilbert, Michael A.</b>	Effing the Ineffable: The Logocentric Fallacy in Argumentation.	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 1	p21-32
<b>Gillaerts, Paul</b>	Jean Wagemans: Redelijkheid en overredingskracht van argumentatie. Een historisch-filosofische studie over de combinatie van het dialectische en het retorische perspectief op argumentatie in de pragma-dialectische argumentatietheorie (Reasonableness and Persuasiveness of Argumentation. An Historical-Philosophical Study on the Combination of the Dialectical and Rhetorical Perspective on Argumentation in the Pragma-Dialectical Argumentation Theory). (Review).	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 1	p123-125
<b>Gillon, Brendan S.</b>	An Early Buddhist Text on Logic: Fang Bian Xin Lun.	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 1	p15-25
<b>Giri, Simona</b>	Cattani, Adelino (2001). Botta e risposta. L'arte della replica, Bologna: Il Mulino, 244 pp. [Spanish translation: Los usos de la retórica, Madrid: Alianza Editorial, 2003.]. (Book Review).	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 3	p381-386
<b>Girle, Roderic A.</b>	Proof and Dialogue in Aristotle.	2016	Vol. 30	Issue 3	p289-316

<b>Glopper, Kees de</b>	Fisher, Alec and Scriven, Michael (1997). Critical Thinking. Its Definition and Assessment. Edgepress: CA, USA/Centre For Research In Critical Thinking: Norwich, UK. (Book Review).	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 2	p247-250
<b>Godden, David</b>	Images as Arguments: Progress and Problems, a Brief Commentary.	2015	Vol. 29	Issue 2	p235-238
<b>Godden, David</b>	Presumption as a Modal Qualifier: Presumption, Inference, and Managing Epistemic Risk.	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 3	p485-511
<b>Godden, David</b>	On the Norms of Visual Argument: A Case for Normative Non-revisionism.	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 2	p395-431
<b>Godden, David M.</b>	Arguing at Cross-Purposes: Discharging the Dialectical Obligations of the Coalescent Model of Argumentation.	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 2	p219-244
<b>Godden, David; Casey, John</b>	No Place for Compromise: Resisting the Shift to Negotiation.	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 4	p499-535
<b>Goddu, G. C.</b>	Cogency and the Validation of Induction.	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 1	p25-42
<b>Goddu, G.C.</b>	Reasonable Doubt: A Note on 'Neutral' Illatives and Arguments.	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 3	p243-250
<b>Godin, Benoît</b>	Argument from Consequences and the Urge to Polarize.	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 4	p347-365
<b>Goldman, Alvin I.</b>	Argumentation and Interpersonal Justification.	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 2	p155-164
<b>González González, Manuela; Gómez, Julder; Lemos, Mariantonia</b>	Theoretical Considerations for the Articulation of Emotion and Argumentation in the Arguer: A Proposal for Emotion Regulation in Deliberation.	2019	Vol. 33	Issue 3	p349-364
<b>Goodman, Jeffrey</b>	On Defining 'Argument'.	2018	Vol. 32	Issue 4	p589-602
<b>Goodnight, G. Thomas</b>	Strategic Maneuvering in Direct to Consumer Drug Advertising: A Study in Argumentation Theory and New Institutional Theory.	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 3	p359-371
<b>Goodnight, G. Thomas</b>	Corina Andone: Argumentation in Political Interviews: Analyzing and Evaluating Responses to Accusations of Inconsistency. John Benjamins, Amsterdam, 2013. (Book Review).	2014	Vol. 28	Issue 2	p241-244
<b>Goodwin, Jean</b>	Forms of Authority and the Real Ad Verecundiam.	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 2	p267-280
<b>Goodwin, Jean</b>	Comments on 'Rhetoric and Dialectic from the Standpoint of Normative Pragmatics'.	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 3	p287-292
<b>Goodwin, Jean</b>	Accounting for the Appeal to the Authority of Experts.	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 3	p285-296
<b>Goodwin, William</b>	The 'Passes-For' Fallacy and the Future of Critical Thinking.	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 3	p363-374
<b>Gorisse, Marie-Hélène</b>	Jain Philosophers in the Debating Hall of Classical India.	2021	Vol. 35	Issue 1	p35-49



<b>Gouran, Dennis S.</b>	Dale Hample: <i>Arguing: Exchanging Reasons Face to Face</i> . Lawrence Erlbaum, Mahwah, NJ, 2005. (Book Review).	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 2	p259-263
<b>Graham, Keith</b>	Preconditions for Normative Argumentation in a Pluralist World.	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 4	p471-487
<b>Gratton, Claude</b>	Eemeren, Frans H. van, Grootendorst, Rob and Snoeck Henkemans, A. Francisca (2002). <i>Argumentation. Analysis, Evaluation, Presentation</i> , Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates. (Book Review).	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 4	p489-494
<b>Gratton, Claude</b>	W. Peter Robinson, <i>Arguing to Better Conclusions. A Human Odyssey</i> . Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Mahwah, New Jersey, 2006, ISBN:0-8058-5951-9. (Book Review).	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 2	p291-292
<b>Gratton, Claude</b>	Sharon Bailin and Mark Battersby: <i>Reason in Balance: An Inquiry Approach to Critical Thinking</i> . MacGraw-Hill Ryerson, 2010, 348 pp. (Book Review).	2013	Vol. 27	Issue 1	p93-96
<b>Gratton, Claude</b>	Epstein, Richard L. (2002). <i>Five Ways of Saying 'Therefore'. Arguments, Proofs, Conditionals, Cause and Effect, Explanation</i> . Belmont, CA: Wadsworth, 380 pp. ISBN 0-534-58066-1. (Book Review).	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 3	p395-398
<b>Greco Morasso, Sara</b>	Henrique J. Ribeiro (ed): <i>Inside Arguments. Logic and the Study of Argumentation</i> . Cambridge Scholars. (Book Review).	2013	Vol. 27	Issue 4	p453-458
<b>Greco Morasso, Sara</b>	Comments on 'Strategic Maneuvering: A Synthetic Recapitulation'.	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 4	p393-398
<b>Greco, Sara</b>	Using Argumentative Tools to Understand Inner Dialogue.	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 2	p331-358
<b>Greco, Sara</b>	F. H. van Eemeren, B. Garssen (eds): <i>Reflections on Theoretical Issues in Argumentation Theory</i> . Springer, 2015, 293 pp. (Review).	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 1	p213-220
<b>Greco, Sara</b>	Frans H. van Eemeren and A. Francisca Snoeck Henkemans: <i>Argumentation: Analysis and Evaluation</i> . 2nd Edition, Routledge, New York and London, 2017, 174 pp. (Book Review).	2018	Vol. 32	Issue 1	p151-153
<b>Green, Nancy L.</b>	Representation of Argumentation in Text with Rhetorical Structure Theory.	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 2	p181-196
<b>Groarke, Leo</b>	Going Multimodal: What is a Mode of Arguing and Why Does it Matter?	2015	Vol. 29	Issue 2	p133-155
<b>Groarke, Leo</b>	Hansen, Hans V. and Robert C. Pinto (eds.), <i>Fallacies</i> . Classical	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 4	p497-501

	and Contemporary Readings. University Park, Pennsylvania: Penn State Press, 1995. (Book Review).				
<b>Groarke, Leo</b>	Deductivism Within Pragma-Dialectics.	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 1	p1-16
<b>Groarke, Leo</b>	Johnson on the Metaphysics of Argument.	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 3	p277-286
<b>Gross, Alan G.; Walzer, Arthur</b>	The Challenger Disaster And The Revival Of Rhetoric In Organizational Life.	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 1	p75-93
<b>Haaften, Ton van</b>	Frans H. van Eemeren, Bart Garssen, Erik C.W. Krabbe, A. Francisca Snoeck Henkemans, Bart Verheij and Jean H.M. Wagemans: Handbook of Argumentation Theory. Springer, Dordrecht, 2014, ISBN: 978-90-481-9472-8 (hardback), ISBN: 978-90-481-9473-5 (eBook), ISBN: 978-90-481-9474-2 (print and electronic bundle). (Review).	2016	Vol. 30	Issue 3	p345-351
<b>Haarscher, Guy</b>	Perelman's Pseudo-Argument as Applied to the Creationism Controversy.	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 3	p361-373
<b>Hage, Jaap</b>	The Logic of Analogy in the Law.	2005	Vol. 19	Issue 4	p401-415
<b>Hahn, Ulrike; Oaksford, Mike</b>	The Burden of Proof and Its Role in Argumentation.	2007	Vol. 21	Issue 1	p39-61
<b>Hample, Dale</b>	Frans van Eemeren, Bart Garssen, & Bert Meuffels: Fallacies and Judgments of Reasonableness: Empirical Research Concerning the Pragma-Dialectical Discussion Rules. Springer, Dordrecht, 2009, Volume 16 of the Springer Argumentation Library. ISBN: 978-90-481-2613-2. DOI: 10.1007/978-90-481-2614-9. HB: \$139.00. (Book Review).	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 3	p375-381
<b>Hample, Dale</b>	A Pragma-Dialectical Analysis of the Inquisition.	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 2	p135-150
<b>Hample, Dale</b>	Ana Patrícia Macedo: The Development of Children's Argument Skills. Ph.D. Dissertation, Royal Holloway, Department of Psychology, University of London, 2011, 362 pp. (Book Review).	2012	Vol. 26	Issue 4	p529-531
<b>Hample, Dale; Han, Bing; Payne, David</b>	The Aggressiveness of Playful Arguments.	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 4	p405-421
<b>Hample, Dale; Irions, Amanda L.</b>	Arguing to Display Identity.	2015	Vol. 29	Issue 4	p389-416
<b>Hample, Dale; Warner, Ben; Young, Dorian</b>	Framing and Editing Interpersonal Arguments.	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 1	p21-37
<b>Hannken-Illjes, Kati</b>	In the Field – The Development of Reasons in Criminal Proceedings.	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 3	p309-325
<b>Hansen, Hans V.; McLeod, Jane</b>	Petitioning the King: The Case of Provincial Printers in Eighteenth-Century France.	2012	Vol. 26	Issue 1	p161-170

<b>Hansen, Hans Vilhelm</b>	An Exploration of Johnson's Sense of 'Argument'.	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 3	p263-276
<b>Hansen, Hans Vilhelm</b>	The Straw Thing of Fallacy Theory: The Standard Definition of 'Fallacy'.	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 2	p133-156
<b>Hariman, Robert</b>	Between Confusion and Boredom in the Study of Visual Argument.	2015	Vol. 29	Issue 2	p239-242
<b>Harpine, William D.</b>	Is Modernism Really Modern? Uncovering a Fallacy in Postmodernism.	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 3	p349-358
<b>Harris, Randy Allen</b>	C. A. Taylor (1996), <i>Defining Science. A Rhetoric of Demarcation</i> . Madison, Wisconsin: University of Wisconsin Press. (Book Review).	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 2	p233-235
<b>Hasper, Pieter Sjoerd</b>	The Ingredients of Aristotle's Theory of Fallacy.	2013	Vol. 27	Issue 1	p31-47
<b>Hatano, Giyoo; Eemeren, F. H. van</b>	Call for Papers.	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 2	p263-263
<b>Hattiangadi, Jagdish N.</b>	The First Dogma of Logical Negativism.	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 2	p165-178
<b>Hazen, Michael David</b>	Barbara Emmel, Paula Resch, and Deborah Tenney (eds.), <i>Argument Revisited; Argument Redefined</i> . Thousand Oaks, California: SAGE, 1996. (Book Review).	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 4	p499-502
<b>Henkemans, A.F. Snoeck</b>	Complex Argumentation in a Critical Discussion.	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 4	p405-419
<b>Heysse, Tim</b>	Why Logic Doesn't Matter in the (Philosophical) Study of Argumentation.	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 2	p211-224
<b>Hicks, Darrin; Langsdorf, Lenore</b>	Regulating Disagreement, Constituting Participants: A Critique of Proceduralist Theories of Democracy.	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 2	p139-160
<b>Hietanen, Mika</b>	The Gospel of Matthew as a Literary Argument.	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 1	p63-86
<b>Hill, Scott</b>	Good News for the Logical Autonomy of Ethics.	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 2	p277-283
<b>Hintikka, Jaakko</b>	Is Logic the Key to All Good Reasoning?	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 1	p35-57
<b>Hitchcock, D.</b>	Good Reasoning on the Toulmin Model.	2005	Vol. 19	Issue 3	p373-391
<b>Hitchcock, David</b>	Obituary: Stephen Edelston Toulmin.	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 3	p399-401
<b>Hitchcock, David</b>	Andrew Aberdein and Ian J. Dove (eds): <i>The Argument of Mathematics (Logic, Epistemology and the Unity of Science, Vol. 30)</i> . Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2013, x + 393 pp. (Book Review).	2014	Vol. 28	Issue 2	p245-258
<b>Hitchcock, David</b>	Harald R. Wohlrapp: <i>The Concept of Argument: A Philosophical Foundation. Logic, Argumentation and Reasoning 4</i> . Springer,	2016	Vol. 30	Issue 3	p353-363

	Dordrecht, 2014, pp. lxii + 443, hardcover US\$179, eBook \$139, softcover \$24.99, ISBN: 978-94-017-8761-1 (print), 978-017-8762-8 (online). (Review).				
<b>Hitchcock, David</b>	Does the Traditional Treatment of Enthymemes Rest on a Mistake?	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 1	p15-38
<b>Hitchcock, David</b>	The Practice of Argumentative Discussion.	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 3	p287-298
<b>Hitchcock, David</b>	Woods, John (2001). Aristotle's Earlier Logic. Oxford: Hermes Science, xiv + 216 pp. ISBN 1-903398-20-5. (Book Review).	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 3	p387-394
<b>Hitchcock, David; Verheij, Bart</b>	The Toulmin Model Today: Introduction to the Special Issue on Contemporary Work using Stephen Edelston Toulmin's Layout of Arguments.	2005	Vol. 19	Issue 3	p255-258
<b>Hoaglund, John</b>	Leo A. Groarke, Christopher W. Tindale, and Linda Fisher, Good Reasoning Matters! A constructive approach to critical thinking (1997). Toronto, New York, Oxford: Oxford University Press. xvii + 362 pages. ISBS 0195412557. Listed for \$27.50 at amazon.com. (Book Review).	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 2	p236-238
<b>Hodges, Wilfrid</b>	Dialogue protocols for formal fallacies: A reply to Kacprzak and Yaskorska.	2014	Vol. 28	Issue 3	p371-377
<b>Hoeken, Hans</b>	Anecdotal, Statistical, and Causal Evidence: Their Perceived and Actual Persuasiveness.	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 4	p425-437
<b>Hoffmann, Michael H. G.</b>	Reflective Argumentation: A Cognitive Function of Arguing.	2016	Vol. 30	Issue 4	p365-397
<b>Hoffmann, Michael H. G.</b>	The Elusive Notion of "Argument Quality".	2018	Vol. 32	Issue 2	p213-240
<b>Hohmann, Hanns</b>	Logic and Rhetoric in Legal Argumentation: Some Medieval Perspectives.	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 1	p39-56
<b>Hohmann, Hanns</b>	Rhetoric and Dialectic: Some Historical and Legal Perspectives.	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 3	p223-234
<b>Hoppmann, Michael</b>	Harald Wohlrapp's 'Der Begriff des Arguments'. (Book Review).	2012	Vol. 26	Issue 2	p297-304
<b>Hornikx, Jos</b>	Comparing the Actual and Expected Persuasiveness of Evidence Types: How Good are Lay People at Selecting Persuasive Evidence?	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 4	p555-569
<b>Houtlosser, P.</b>	Bibliography Argumentation Studies 2002.	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 4	p501-518
<b>Houtlosser, Peter</b>	Points of View.	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 3	p387-406
<b>Houtlosser, Peter; van Laar, J. A.</b>	Metadialogues: Krabbe's Immanent Dialectic.	2007	Vol. 21	Issue 3	p205-208

<b>Hoven, Paul van den</b>	The Dilemma of Normativity: How to Interpret a Rational Reconstruction?	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 4	p411-417
<b>Hoven, Paul van den</b>	The Unchangeable Judicial Formats.	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 4	p499-511
<b>Hoven, Paul van den</b>	E. Feteris, B. Garssen and F. Snoeck Henkemans (eds): Keeping in Touch with Pragma-Dialectics. In Honor of Frans H. van Eemeren. (Book Review).	2012	Vol. 26	Issue 3	p409-412
<b>Hoven, Paul van den</b>	Cognitive Semiotics in Argumentation: A Theoretical Exploration.	2015	Vol. 29	Issue 2	p157-176
<b>Hoven, Paul van den</b>	Modeling the Protagonist: The Strategic Use of Discourse Voices.	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 4	p475-487
<b>Hoven, Paul van den</b>	Marcin Lewinski: Internet Political Discussion Forums as an Argumentative Activity Type. A Pragma-dialectical Analysis of Online Forms of Strategic Manoeuvring in Reacting Critically. Dissertation University of Amsterdam, SicSat, Amsterdam, 2010. (Review).	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 2	p255-259
<b>Hoven, Paul van den; Yang, Ying</b>	The Argumentative Reconstruction of Multimodal Discourse, Taking the ABC Coverage of President Hu Jintao's Visit to the USA as an Example.	2013	Vol. 27	Issue 4	p403-424
<b>Hu, Xingming</b>	Must a Successful Argument Convert an Ideal Audience?	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 1	p165-177
<b>Huang, Lue; Zhang, Chuanrui</b>	Multimodal Argumentation and Rhetoric in Media Genres. (Book Review).	2019	Vol. 33	Issue 3	p455-458
<b>Hugon, Pascale</b>	Arguments by Parallels in the Epistemological Works of Phya pa Chos kyi seng ge.	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 1	p93-114
<b>Iețcu-Fairclough, Isabela</b>	Legitimation and Strategic Maneuvering in the Political Field.	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 3	p399-417
<b>Igland, Mari-Ann</b>	Negotiating Problems of Written Argumentation.	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 4	p495-511
<b>Ihnen Jory, Constanza</b>	A Review of the Proceedings of the Fourth Tokyo Conference of Argumentation: The Role of Argumentation in Society. (Review).	2014	Vol. 28	Issue 1	p121-127
<b>Ihnen Jory, Constanza</b>	Negotiation and Deliberation: Grasping the Difference.	2016	Vol. 30	Issue 2	p145-165
<b>Ikuenobe, Polycarp</b>	In Search of Criteria for 'Fallacies' and 'Begging the Question'.	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 4	p421-442
<b>Ikuenobe, Polycarp</b>	On the Theoretical Unification and Nature of Fallacies.	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 2	p189-212
<b>Ilie, Cornelia</b>	Ruth Amossy et Michel Delon (eds.), Critique et légitimité du préjugé (XVIIIe–XXe siècle). Collection de philosophie politique	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 4	p480-485

	et juridique. Bruxelles: Editions de l'Université de Bruxelles, 1999. 190 pp. BF 895/FF 165 (pb.). (Book Review).				
<b>Inbar, Michael</b>	Argumentation as Rule-Justified Claims: Elements of a Conceptual Framework for the Critical Analysis of Argument.	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 1	p27-42
<b>Iqbal, Muhammad; Rahman, Shahid</b>	Arsyad Al-Banjari's Dialectical Model for Integrating Indonesian Traditional Uses into Islamic Law: Arguments on Manyanggar, Mambuung Pasilih and Lahang.	2021	Vol. 35	Issue 1	p73-99
<b>Irاندoust, Hengameh</b>	The Logic of Critique.	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 2	p133-148
<b>Ivie, Robert L.</b>	Argument from Similitude in Martin Luther King, Jr.'s Deliberative Dissent from War.	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 3	p311-323
<b>Jackson, Sally</b>	Disputation by Design.	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 2	p183-198
<b>Jackson, Sally</b>	Black Box Arguments.	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 3	p437-446
<b>Jackson, Sally</b>	Design Thinking in Argumentation Theory and Practice.	2015	Vol. 29	Issue 3	p243-263
<b>Jackson, Sally</b>	Comments on 'Strategic Maneuvering in Mathematical Proofs'.	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 3	p469-472
<b>Jackson, Sally</b>	Frans H. van Eemeren and Wu Peng (eds): Contextualizing Pragma-Dialectics. John Benjamins, Amsterdam/Philadelphia, 2017. Volume 12 of the Argumentation in Context Series, ix, 367 pp, ISBN: 9789027211293 (hardback), €99.00, ISBN: 9789027264800 (eBook), €99.00. (Book Review).	2018	Vol. 32	Issue 2	p293-299
<b>Jackson, Sally; Schneider, Jodi</b>	Cochrane Review as a "Warranting Device" for Reasoning About Health.	2018	Vol. 32	Issue 2	p241-272
<b>Jacobs, Scott</b>	Rhetoric and Dialectic from the Standpoint of Normative Pragmatics.	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 3	p261-286
<b>Jacobs, Scott</b>	Nonfallacious Rhetorical Strategies: Lyndon Johnson's Daisy Ad.	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 4	p421-442
<b>Jacquette, Dale</b>	Deductivism and the Informal Fallacies.	2007	Vol. 21	Issue 4	p335-347
<b>Jacquette, Dale</b>	Two Sides of Any Issue.	2007	Vol. 21	Issue 2	p115-127
<b>Jacquette, Dale</b>	Maurice A. Finocchiaro: Meta-argumentation: An Approach to Logic and Argumentation Theory. Studies in Logic series volume 42, College Publications, London, 2013, viii + 279 pp, Softcover £12.00, €14.00, \$19.00, ISBN: 978-1-84890-097-4. (Book Review).	2014	Vol. 28	Issue 2	p221-230
<b>Jacquette, Dale</b>	Socrates on the Moral Mischief of Misology.	2014	Vol. 28	Issue 1	p1-17

<b>Jacquette, Dale</b>	Jan Willem Wieland: Infinite Regress Arguments. Springer Briefs in Philosophy. Springer Verlag, Cham, Heidelberg, New York, Dordrecht, London, 2014, vi + 68 pp, Softcover €53.49; £44.99; \$54.99, ISBN: 978-3-319-06205-1. (Review).	2015	Vol. 29	Issue 3	p351-360
<b>Janier, Mathilde; Reed, Chris</b>	Towards a Theory of Close Analysis for Dispute Mediation Discourse.	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 1	p45-82
<b>Jansen, Henrike</b>	You Think That Says a Lot, but Really it Says Nothing': An Argumentative and Linguistic Account of an Idiomatic Expression Functioning as a Presentational Device.	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 4	p615-640
<b>Jansen, Henrike</b>	E Contrario Reasoning: The Dilemma of the Silent Legislator.	2005	Vol. 19	Issue 4	p485-496
<b>Jansen, Henrike</b>	Klatt, Matthias, Theorie der Wortlautgrenze, Studien zur Rechtsphilosophie und Rechtstheorie 38, Nomos Verlag, Baden-Baden 2004, 313 pp. Forthcoming in English (Oxford, Hart Publishing) 2006. (Book Review).	2005	Vol. 19	Issue 4	p509-518
<b>Jansen, Henrike; Snoeck Henkemans, Francisca</b>	Argumentative Use and Strategic Function of the Expression 'Not for Nothing'.	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 2	p143-162
<b>Johnson, Kristen Linnea</b>	The Influence of Argumentative Role (Initiator vs. Resistor) on Perceptions of Serial Argument Resolvability and Relational Harm.	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 1	p1-16
<b>Johnson, R. H.</b>	Frans H. van Eemeren and Peter Houtlosser (Eds.) (2002). Dialectic and Rhetoric: The Warp and Woof of Argumentation Analysis. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, pp. 3–167. ISBN 1-4020-0703-5. (Book Review).	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 4	p483-488
<b>Johnson, Ralph H.</b>	Douglas N. Walton, A Pragmatic Theory of Fallacy. London/Tuscaloosa: the University of Alabama Press (1995). ISBN 35487-0380 (324 pp). (Book Review).	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 1	p115-122
<b>Johnson, Ralph H.</b>	The Relation between Formal and Informal Logic.	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 3	p265-274
<b>Johnson, Ralph H.</b>	Manifest Rationality Reconsidered: Reply to my Fellow Symposiasts.	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 3	p311-332
<b>Johnson, Ralph H.; Hamby, Benjamin</b>	A Meta-Level Approach to the Problem of Defining 'Critical Thinking'.	2015	Vol. 29	Issue 4	p417-430
<b>Jørgensen, Charlotte</b>	Public Debate – An Act of Hostility?	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 4	p431-443
<b>Jørgensen, Charlotte</b>	Interpreting Perelman's Universal Audience: Gross versus Crosswhite.	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 1	p11-19

<b>Jørgensen, Charlotte</b>	The Relevance of Intention in Argument Evaluation.	2007	Vol. 21	Issue 2	p165-174
<b>Jovičić, Taeda</b>	Authority-based Argumentative Strategies: A Model for their Evaluation.	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 1	p1-24
<b>Jovičić, Taeda</b>	The Effectiveness of Argumentative Strategies.	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 1	p29-58
<b>Ju, Shi-er; Chen, Zhi-xi; He, Yang</b>	Political Argumentation by Reciting Poems in the Spring and Autumn Period of Ancient China.	2021	Vol. 35	Issue 1	p9-33
<b>Juthe, A.</b>	Argument by Analogy.	2005	Vol. 19	Issue 1	p1-27
<b>Juthe, André</b>	Reconstructing Complex Pro/Con Argumentation.	2019	Vol. 33	Issue 3	p413-454
<b>Juthe, André</b>	Refutation by Parallel Argument.	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 2	p133-169
<b>Kacprzak, Magdalena; Yaskorska, Olena</b>	Dialogue Protocols for Formal Fallacies.	2014	Vol. 28	Issue 3	p349-369
<b>Kane, Thomas</b>	Public Argument and Civil Society: The Cold War Legacy as a Barrier to Deliberative Politics.	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 2	p107-116
<b>Kaptein, Hendrik</b>	Legal Progress Through Pragma-Dialectics? Prospects Beyond Analogy and E Contrario.	2005	Vol. 19	Issue 4	p497-507
<b>Katzav, J.; Reed, C. A.</b>	On Argumentation Schemes and the Natural Classification of Arguments.	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 2	p239-260
<b>Kauffeld, Fred J.</b>	Presumptions and the Distribution of Argumentative Burdens in Acts of Proposing and Accusing.	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 2	p245-266
<b>Kauffeld, Fred J.</b>	Grice's Analysis of Utterance-Meaning and Cicero's Catilinarian Apostrophe.	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 2	p239-257
<b>Kauffeld, Fred J.; Innocenti, Beth</b>	A Normative Pragmatic Theory of Exhorting.	2018	Vol. 32	Issue 4	p463-483
<b>Keith, William</b>	Leah Ceccarelli (2001) <i>Shaping Science with Rhetoric: The cases of Dobzhansky, Schrödinger, and Wilson</i> . University of Chicago Press. 182 pp + notes and index. ISBN: 0-226-09907-5. (Book Review).	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 1	p123-126
<b>Keith, William; Mountford, Roxanne; Steffensmeier, Timothy</b>	Teaching Argument Through Relationships.	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 3	p355-369
<b>Kienpointner, Manfred</b>	Werner Schmidt-Faber (2003). <i>Einerseits –Andererseits. Untersuchungen zur Konfrontation normativer Argumente</i> . Dissertation/Fern-Universität Hagen, 264 pp. (Book Review).	2005	Vol. 19	Issue 1	p115-121
<b>Kienpointner, Manfred</b>	Revolutionary Rhetoric: Georg Büchner's "Der Hessische Landbote" (1834) – A Case Study.	2007	Vol. 21	Issue 2	p129-149
<b>Kienpointner, Manfred</b>	On the Art of Finding Arguments: What Ancient and Modern	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 2	p225-236



	Masters of Invention Have to Tell Us About the "Ars Inveniendi".				
<b>Kienpointner, Manfred</b>	Frans H. Van Eemeren, Rob Grootendorst and Francisca Snoeck Henkemans et alia, Fundamentals of Argumentation Theory: A Handbook of Historical Background and Contemporary Developments. Mahwah, N.J., U.S.A.: Erlbaum, 1996. ISBN 0-8058-1861-8/0-8058-1862-6 (pbk.). (424 pp.). (Book Review).	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 1	p127-133
<b>Kienpointner, Manfred</b>	Sloane, Thomas O. (ed.), Encyclopedia of Rhetoric (2001). New York: Oxford University Press. xii, 837 pp. (Book Review).	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 2	p245-250
<b>Kienpointner, Manfred</b>	Special Section on Argumentation and Paradoxes. Introduction.	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 1	p43-46
<b>Kienpointner, Manfred</b>	Persuasive Paradoxes in Cicero's Speeches.	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 1	p47-64
<b>Kienpointner, Manfred</b>	Geert-Lueke Lueken (Hg.) (2000). Formen der Argumentation. Leipzig: Leipziger Universitätsverlag, 237 pp. (Book Review).	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 2	p271-277
<b>Kienpointner, Manfred</b>	Frans H. van Eemeren, Peter Houtlosser, A. Francisca Snoeck Henkemans: Argumentative Indicators in Discourse. A Pragma-Dialectical Study. Springer: Dordrecht, 2007, ix, 234 pp. (Book Review).	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 4	p519-524
<b>Kienpointner, Manfred</b>	Kati Hannken-Illjes: Argumentation. Einführung in die Theorie und Analyse der Argumentation. Narr/Francke/Attempto: Tübingen, 2018, 193 pp. (Book Review).	2019	Vol. 33	Issue 1	p147-151
<b>Kim, Youllee; Chung, Sungeun; Hample, Dale</b>	How do Culture, Individual Traits, and Context Influence Koreans' Interpersonal Arguing? Toward a More Comprehensive Analysis of Interpersonal Arguing.	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 2	p117-141
<b>Kimball, Robert</b>	What's Wrong with Argumentum ad Baculum? Reasons, Threats, and Logical Norms.	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 1	p89-100
<b>Kimball, Robert H.</b>	Moral and Logical Perspectives on Appealing to Pity.	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 3	p331-346
<b>Kindt, W.</b>	Harald Wohlrapp (Ed.), Wege der Argumentationsforschung. Stuttgart: Frommann Holzboog, 1995. (Book Review).	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 3	p386-391
<b>Kjeldsen, Jens E.</b>	Strategies of Visual Argumentation in Slideshow Presentations: The Role of the Visuals in an AI Gore Presentation on Climate Change.	2013	Vol. 27	Issue 4	p425-443
<b>Kjeldsen, Jens E.</b>	The Study of Visual and Multimodal Argumentation.	2015	Vol. 29	Issue 2	p115-132
<b>Kjeldsen, Jens E.</b>	The Rhetoric of Thick Representation: How Pictures Render the Importance and Strength of an Argument Salient.	2015	Vol. 29	Issue 2	p197-215

<b>Klement, Kevin C.</b>	When Is Genetic Reasoning Not Fallacious?	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 4	p383-400
<b>Kline, Susan L.</b>	Influence Opportunities and the Development of Argumentation Competencies in Childhood.	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 3	p367-386
<b>Kloosterhuis, Harm</b>	Peter Mengel, Analogien als Argumente . Frankfurt am Main, Peter Lang Europäischer Verlag der Wissenschaften, 1995. Europäische Hochschulschriften, Reihe XX Philosophie. (Book Review).	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 1	p135-138
<b>Kloosterhuis, Harm</b>	Reconstructing Complex Analogy Argumentation in Judicial Decisions: A Pragma-Dialectical Perspective.	2005	Vol. 19	Issue 4	p471-483
<b>Kloosterhuis, Harm</b>	Henrique Jales Ribeiro (ed): Systemic Approaches to Argument by Analogy. Argumentation Library Volume 25. Springer, Dordrecht, 2014. (Review).	2016	Vol. 30	Issue 2	p217-220
<b>Klumpp, James F.</b>	Freedom And Responsibility In Constructing Public Life: Toward A Revised Ethic Of Discourse.	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 1	p113-130
<b>Kochin, Michael Shalom</b>	From Argument to Assertion.	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 3	p387-396
<b>Kock, Christian</b>	Introduction: Rhetoricians on Argumentation.	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 3	p278-295
<b>Kock, Christian</b>	Choice is Not True or False: The Domain of Rhetorical Argumentation.	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 1	p61-80
<b>Koren, Roselyne</b>	Can Perelman's NR be Viewed as an Ethics of Discourse?	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 3	p421-431
<b>Koszowy, Marcin; Araszkiwicz, Michał</b>	The Lvov-Warsaw School as a Source of Inspiration for Argumentation Theory.	2014	Vol. 28	Issue 3	p283-300
<b>Kotzee, Ben</b>	Poisoning the Well and Epistemic Privilege.	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 3	p265-281
<b>Krabbe, Erik</b>	Arne Næss (1912-2009).	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 4	p527-530
<b>Krabbe, Erik C. W.</b>	On How to Get Beyond the Opening Stage.	2007	Vol. 21	Issue 3	p233-242
<b>Krabbe, Erik C. W.</b>	James B. Freeman (2005). Acceptable Premises: An Epistemic Approach to an Informal Logic Problem. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, xiv + 401 pp. (Book Review).	2007	Vol. 21	Issue 1	p101-113
<b>Krabbe, Erik C. W.</b>	Strategic Maneuvering in Mathematical Proofs.	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 3	p453-468
<b>Krabbe, Erik C. W.</b>	Else Barth (1928-2015).	2016	Vol. 30	Issue 3	p341-343
<b>Krabbe, Erik C. W.</b>	The Formalization of Critical Discussion.	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 1	p101-119
<b>Krabbe, Erik C. W.</b>	Christopher W. Tindale, Fallacies and Argument Appraisal. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007, xvii + 218 pp.	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 1	p127-131

	Series: Critical Reasoning and Argumentation. (Book Review).				
<b>Krabbe, Erik C. W.</b>	Topical Roots of Formal Dialectic.	2013	Vol. 27	Issue 1	p71-87
<b>Krabbe, Erik C. W.</b>	Jacobus C. (Jacky) Visser, A Dialogue Game for Critical Discussion: Groundwork in the Formalisation and Computerisation of the Pragma-Dialectical Model of Argumentation. Dissertation, University of Amsterdam. Amsterdam Center for Language and Communication, Amsterdam, xiv + 153 pp. (Book Review).	2018	Vol. 32	Issue 3	p457-460
<b>Krabbe, Erik C. W.; Laar, Jan Albert van</b>	The Ways of Criticism.	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 2	p199-227
<b>Krabbe, Erik C.W.</b>	Who is Afraid of Figure of Speech?	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 2	p281-294
<b>Krabbe, Erik C.W.</b>	Meeting in the House of Callias: Rhetoric and Dialectic.	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 3	p205-217
<b>Krabbe, Erik C.W.</b>	Frans H. van Eemeren (ed.) (2001), Crucial Concepts in Argumentation Theory. Amsterdam: Amsterdam University Press. 239 pp. ISBN 90 5356 523X. (Book Review).	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 3	p355-359
<b>Krabbe, Erik C.W.</b>	John Woods, Paradox and Paraconsistency: Conflict Resolution in the Abstract Sciences(2003). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. pp. xviii+362, ISBN 0-521-81094-9 (cloth), 0-521-00934-0 (paper). (Book Review).	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 4	p495-499
<b>Kraus, Manfred</b>	From Figure to Argument: Contrarium in Roman Rhetoric.	2007	Vol. 21	Issue 1	p3-19
<b>Kray, Thorn-R.</b>	On Name-Dropping: The Mechanisms Behind a Notorious Practice in Social Science and the Humanities.	2016	Vol. 30	Issue 4	p423-441
<b>Kruse, Julia</b>	Fantasy Themes and Rhetorical Visions in the Brent Spar Crisis: A Comparative Analysis of German and French Newspaper Coverage.	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 4	p439-456
<b>Kutrovátz, Gábor; Zemplén, Gábor</b>	Experts in Dialogue: An Introduction.	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 3	p275-283
<b>Laar, J. A. van</b>	Don't say that!	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 4	p495-510
<b>Laar, J. A. van</b>	Pragmatic Inconsistency and Credibility.	2007	Vol. 21	Issue 3	p317-334
<b>Laar, J. A. van</b>	J. Anthony Blair and Ralph H. Johnson (eds): Conductive Argument: An Overlooked Type of Defeasible Reasoning. College Publications, London, 2011. (Book Review).	2013	Vol. 27	Issue 3	p337-344
<b>Laar, J. A. van</b>	Criticism in Need of Clarification.	2014	Vol. 28	Issue 4	p401-423
<b>Laar, J. A. van</b>	Mark Vorobej (2006): A Theory of Argument.	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 2	p285-290

<b>Laar, J. A. van</b>	Argumentative Bluff in Eristic Discussion: An Analysis and Evaluation.	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 3	p383-398
<b>Laar, J. A. van ; Krabbe, Erik C. W.</b>	The Burden of Criticism: Consequences of Taking a Critical Stance.	2013	Vol. 27	Issue 2	p201-224
<b>Laar, J. A. van; Krabbe, Erik C. W.</b>	Splitting a Difference of Opinion: The Shift to Negotiation.	2018	Vol. 32	Issue 3	p329-350
<b>Laar, Jan Albert van; Krabbe, Erik C. W.</b>	The Role of Argument in Negotiation.	2018	Vol. 32	Issue 4	p549-567
<b>Laas, Oliver</b>	Questioning the Virtual Friendship Debate: Fuzzy Analogical Arguments from Classification and Definition.	2018	Vol. 32	Issue 1	p99-149
<b>Labrie, Nanon</b>	Strategic Maneuvering in Treatment Decision-Making Discussions: Two Cases in Point.	2012	Vol. 26	Issue 2	p171-199
<b>Labrie, Nanon; Schulz, Peter J.</b>	Quantifying Doctors' Argumentation in General Practice Consultation Through Content Analysis: Measurement Development and Preliminary Results.	2015	Vol. 29	Issue 1	p33-55
<b>Lake, Randall A.; Pickering, Barbara A.</b>	Argumentation, the Visual, and the Possibility of Refutation: An Exploration.	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 1	p79-94
<b>Langsdorf, Lenore</b>	Argument as Inquiry in a Postmodern Context.	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 3	p315-328
<b>Leal, Fernando</b>	Frans H. van Eemeren (2012): Maniobras estratégicas en el discurso argumentativo. Madrid: Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas & Editorial Plaza y Valdés (Series 'Theoria cum Praxi', No. 9). Spanish translation, by Cristián Santibáñez and María Elena Molina, of: Frans H. van Eemeren (2010): Strategic Maneuvering in Argumentative Discourse: Extending the Pragma- Dialectical Theory of Argumentation, John Benjamins, Amsterdam (Series 'Argumentation in Context', No. 2). (Review).	2014	Vol. 28	Issue 1	p129-132
<b>Leal, Fernando</b>	Review of: Frans H. van Eemeren (2015): Reasonableness and Effectiveness in Argumentative Discourse: Fifty Contributions to the Development of Pragma-Dialectics, Cham (CH), Springer (= Argumentation Library, 27), 880 pp.	2016	Vol. 30	Issue 4	p527-532
<b>Leal, Fernando</b>	On the Importance of Questioning Within the Ideal Model of Critical Discussion.	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 4	p405-431
<b>Leal, Fernando</b>	Frans H. van Eemeren and Bart Garssen (Eds.): From Argument Schemes to Argumentative Relations in the Wild: A Variety of Contributions to Argumentation Theory: Cham (CH), Springer (= Argumentation Library, 35), 2020, 289 pp. (Book Review).	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 3	p389-397

<b>Lecompte-Van Poucke, Margo</b>	The Conjunction of a French Rhetoric of Unity with a Competing Nationalism in New Caledonia: A Critical Discourse Analysis.	2018	Vol. 32	Issue 3	p351-395
<b>Leff, Michael</b>	Rhetoric and Dialectic in the Twenty-First Century.	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 3	p241-254
<b>Leff, Michael</b>	Perelman, ad Hominem Argument, and Rhetorical Ethos.	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 3	p301-311
<b>Lewiński, M.</b>	Douglas Walton, Fundamentals of Critical Argumentation. University Press, Cambridge, 2006, 343 pp. (Book Review).	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 1	p123-126
<b>Lewiński, Marcin</b>	Comments on 'Black Box Arguments'.	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 3	p447-451
<b>Lewiński, Marcin</b>	Argumentation Theory Without Presumptions.	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 3	p591-613
<b>Lewiński, Marcin</b>	Towards a Critique-Friendly Approach to the Straw Man Fallacy Evaluation.	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 4	p469-497
<b>Lewiński, Marcin; Aakhus, Mark</b>	Argumentative Polylogues in a Dialectical Framework: A Methodological Inquiry.	2014	Vol. 28	Issue 2	p161-185
<b>Liang, Qingyin; Xie, Yun</b>	How Critical is the Dialectical Tier?	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 2	p229-242
<b>Liao, Yanlin</b>	The Legitimacy Crisis of Arguments from Expert Opinion: Can't We Trust Experts?	2021	Vol. 35	Issue 2	p265-286
<b>Liberman, Kenneth</b>	Sophistry In and As Its Course.	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 1	p59-70
<b>Linker, Maureen</b>	Epistemic Privilege and Expertise in the Context of Meta-debate.	2014	Vol. 28	Issue 1	p67-84
<b>Linker, Maureen</b>	Richard Andrews, Teaching and Learning Argument, London: Cassell, 1995. 196 p. Cloth. (Book Review).	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 1	p131-134
<b>Liu, Yameng</b>	Justifying My Position in Your Terms: Cross-cultural Argumentation in a Globalized World.	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 3	p297-315
<b>Liu, Yameng</b>	Argument in a Nutshell: Condensation as a Transfiguring Mechanism in Argumentative Discourse.	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 1	p43-60
<b>Livnat, Zohar</b>	The Concept of Scientific Fact: Perelman and Beyond.	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 3	p375-386
<b>Lo Cascio, Enzo</b>	Cattani, Adelino (1995). Discorsi Ingannevoli: argomenti per difendersi, attaccare, divertirsi. Padova: Edizioni GB, 208 pp. (Book Review).	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 4	p475-480
<b>López Pan, Fernando</b>	The Newspaper as an Epideictic Meeting Point.	2015	Vol. 29	Issue 3	p285-303
<b>Loui, Ronald P.</b>	A Citation-based Reflection on Toulmin and Argument.	2005	Vol. 19	Issue 3	p259-266
<b>Lumer, Christoph</b>	Practical Arguments for Theoretical Theses.	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 3	p329-340

<b>Lumer, Christoph</b>	Reductionism in Fallacy Theory.	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 4	p405-423
<b>Lumer, Christoph</b>	Pragma-Dialectics and the Function of Argumentation.	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 1	p41-69
<b>Macagno, Fabrizio</b>	Presumptive Reasoning in Interpretation. Implicatures and Conflicts of Presumptions.	2012	Vol. 26	Issue 2	p233-265
<b>Macagno, Fabrizio</b>	Strategies of Character Attack.	2013	Vol. 27	Issue 4	p369-401
<b>Macagno, Fabrizio ; Pinto, Rosalice</b>	Reconstructing Multimodal Arguments in Advertisements: Combining Pragmatics and Argumentation Theory.	2021	Vol. 35	Issue 1	p141-176
<b>Macagno, Fabrizio; Capone, Alessandro</b>	Interpretative Disputes, Explicatures, and Argumentative Reasoning.	2016	Vol. 30	Issue 4	p399-422
<b>Macagno, Fabrizio; Konstantinidou, Aikaterini</b>	What Students' Arguments Can Tell Us: Using Argumentation Schemes in Science Education.	2013	Vol. 27	Issue 3	p225-243
<b>Macagno, Fabrizio; Walton, Douglas</b>	Practical Reasoning Arguments: A Modular Approach.	2018	Vol. 32	Issue 4	p519-547
<b>Macagno, Fabrizio; Walton, Douglas; Tindale, Christopher</b>	Analogical Arguments: Inferential Structures and Defeasibility Conditions.	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 2	p221-243
<b>Macagno, Fabrizio; Zavatta, Benedetta</b>	Reconstructing Metaphorical Meaning.	2014	Vol. 28	Issue 4	p453-488
<b>Mackenzie, Jim</b>	Walton, Douglas (ed.), Fallacies Arising from Ambiguity (1996). Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, xiv + 293 pp. ISBN: 0792341007. Dfl. 195.00; US\$ 130.00; UK£ 88.00. (Book Review).	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 1	p115-118
<b>Mackenzie, Jim</b>	Pinto, Robert C. (2001) Argument, Inference and Dialectic. Dordrecht: Kluwer. ISBN 0792370058 (148 pp.). (Book Review).	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 4	p507-514
<b>Mackenzie, Jim</b>	Equivocation as a Point of Order.	2007	Vol. 21	Issue 3	p223-231
<b>Macoubrie, Jane</b>	Logical Argument Structures in Decision-making.	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 3	p291-314
<b>Maier, Robert</b>	Jean-Blaise Grize (1996). Logique naturelle & communications. Paris: Presses Universitaires de France. (Book Review).	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 3	p343-346
<b>Majdik, Zoltan P.; Keith, William M.</b>	Expertise as Argument: Authority, Democracy, and Problem-Solving.	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 3	p371-384
<b>Manolescu, Beth Innocenti</b>	Formal Propriety as Rhetorical Norm.	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 1	p113-126
<b>Manolescu, Beth Innocenti</b>	A Normative Pragmatic Perspective on Appealing to Emotions in Argumentation.	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 3	p327-343
<b>Manolescu, Beth Innocenti</b>	Shaming in and into Argumentation.	2007	Vol. 21	Issue 4	p379-395
<b>Marello, Carla</b>	Bondi, Marina (1998, Ed.). Forms of Argumentative Discourse.	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 2	p255-258

	Per un'analisi linguistica dell'argomentare. CLUEB, Bologna, pp. xiv–274. ISBN 88-491-1096-0. (Book Review).				
<b>Marraud, Hubert</b>	Arguments from Ostension.	2018	Vol. 32	Issue 3	p309-327
<b>Matheson, David</b>	Conflicting Experts and Dialectical Performance: Adjudication Heuristics for the Layperson.	2005	Vol. 19	Issue 2	p145-158
<b>Mathewson, Gwen C.</b>	'Outdoing Lewis Carroll': Judicial Rhetoric and Acceptable Fictions.	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 2	p233-244
<b>Mayes, G.R.</b>	Resisting Explanation.	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 4	p361-380
<b>Mazzi, Davide</b>	The Construction of Argumentation in Judicial Texts: Combining a Genre and a Corpus Perspective.	2007	Vol. 21	Issue 1	p21-38
<b>McBurney, Peter; Parsons, Simon</b>	Retraction and Revocation in Agent Deliberation Dialogs.	2007	Vol. 21	Issue 3	p269-289
<b>McClintock, Sara L.</b>	Rhetoric and the Reception Theory of Rationality in the Work of Two Buddhist Philosophers.	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 1	p27-41
<b>McEvoy, Sebastian</b>	The Construction of Issues: Pleading Theory and Practice, Relevance in Pragmatics, and the Confrontation Stage in the Pragma-Dialectical Theory of Argumentation.	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 1	p43-52
<b>McKeon, Matthew</b>	On the Rationale for Distinguishing Arguments from Explanations.	2013	Vol. 27	Issue 3	p283-303
<b>McKeon, Matthew William</b>	Argument, Inference, and Persuasion.	2021	Vol. 35	Issue 2	p339-356
<b>Mendelson, Michael</b>	Quintilian and the Pedagogy of Argument.	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 3	p277-294
<b>Mercier, Hugo</b>	When Experts Argue: Explaining the Best and the Worst of Reasoning.	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 3	p313-327
<b>Mercier, Hugo</b>	Looking for Arguments.	2012	Vol. 26	Issue 3	p305-324
<b>Metcalfe, R.</b>	Rethinking the Ad Hominem: A Case Study of Chomsky.	2005	Vol. 19	Issue 1	p29-52
<b>Micheli, Raphaël</b>	Emotions as Objects of Argumentative Constructions.	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 1	p1-17
<b>Micheli, Raphaël</b>	Arguing Without Trying to Persuade? Elements for a Non-Persuasive Definition of Argumentation.	2012	Vol. 26	Issue 1	p115-126
<b>Miéville, Denis</b>	Alban Bouvier, L'argumentation philosophique. Étude de sociologie cognitive. Paris: PUF, 1995, 248 pp. (Book Review).	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 4	p505-507
<b>Mitchell, Gordon R.</b>	Higher-Order Strategic Maneuvering in Argumentation.	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 3	p319-335
<b>Mizrahi, Moti</b>	Arguments from Expert Opinion and Persistent Bias.	2018	Vol. 32	Issue 2	p175-195

<b>Mizrahi, Moti</b>	The Problem of Unconceived Objections.	2014	Vol. 28	Issue 4	p425-436
<b>Mizrahi, Moti</b>	Why Simpler Arguments are Better.	2016	Vol. 30	Issue 3	p247-261
<b>Mohammed, Dima</b>	Comments on 'Nonfallacious Rhetorical Strategies: Lyndon Johnson's Daisy Ad'.	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 4	p443-445
<b>Mohammed, Dima</b>	Institutional Insights for Analysing Strategic Manoeuvring in the British Prime Minister's Question Time.	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 3	p377-393
<b>Mohammed, Dima</b>	Goals in Argumentation: A Proposal for the Analysis and Evaluation of Public Political Arguments.	2016	Vol. 30	Issue 3	p221-245
<b>Mohammed, Dima</b>	Standing Standpoints and Argumentative Associates: What is at Stake in a Public Political Argument?	2019	Vol. 33	Issue 3	p307-322
<b>Morris, Jeremy</b>	Pragmatic Reflexivity in Self-defeating and Self-justifying Expressions.	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 2	p205-216
<b>Musi, Elena; Aakhus, Mark</b>	Discovering Argumentative Patterns in Energy Polylogues: A Macroscopic for Argument Mining.	2018	Vol. 32	Issue 3	p397-430
<b>Nelson, Mark T.</b>	Who Needs Valid Moral Arguments? (Dedicated to the Memory of R.M. Chisholm, 1916–1999).	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 1	p35-42
<b>Nestlog, Ewa Bergh</b>	Written Argumentation by a 10-Year-Old Pupil in Sweden.	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 4	p437-449
<b>Nettel, Ana Laura; Roque, Georges</b>	Introduction.	2012	Vol. 26	Issue 1	p1-17
<b>Nettel, Ana Laura; Roque, Georges</b>	Persuasive Argumentation Versus Manipulation.	2012	Vol. 26	Issue 1	p55-69
<b>Niu, Zezhen; Zheng, Shuhong</b>	Argumentation in Mencius: A Philosophical Commentary on Haiwen Yang's The World of Mencius. (Book Review).	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 2	p275-284
<b>Norman, A.P.</b>	The Normative Structure of Adjudicative Dialogue.	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 4	p489-498
<b>Nutting, Kurt</b>	Legal Practices and the Reason of the Law.	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 1	p111-131
<b>Nyan, T.</b>	Simplement, as a Metalinguistic Operator.	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 3	p275-295
<b>Nyan, Thanh</b>	Re-contextualising Argumentative Meanings: An Adaptive Perspective.	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 2	p267-299
<b>O'Keefe, Daniel J.</b>	Conviction, Persuasion, and Argumentation: Untangling the Ends and Means of Influence.	2012	Vol. 26	Issue 1	p19-32
<b>O'Leary, Stephen D.</b>	Apocalyptic Argument and the Anticipation of Catastrophe: the Prediction of Risk and the Risks of Prediction.	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 3	p293-314
<b>O'Keefe, Daniel J.</b>	Potential Conflicts between Normatively-Responsible Advocacy and Successful Social Influence: Evidence from Persuasion	2007	Vol. 21	Issue 2	p151-163



	Effects Research.				
<b>Oaksford, Mike; Hahn, Ulrike</b>	Non-monotonicity and Informal Reasoning: Comment on Ferguson (2003).	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 2	p245-251
<b>Øgreid, Anne Kristine; Hertzberg, Frøydis</b>	Argumentation in and Across Disciplines: Two Norwegian Cases.	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 4	p451-468
<b>Olmos, Paula</b>	Fernando Leal Carretero (coord.): Argumentación y Pragmática-Dialéctica: Estudios en Honor a Frans van Eemeren. Guadalajara (México), Publicaciones de la Universidad de Guadalajara, 2015, 472 pp. (Review).	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 4	p725-726
<b>Olmos, Paula</b>	Revisiting Accounts of Narrative Explanation in the Sciences: Some Clarifications from Contemporary Argumentation Theory.	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 4	p449-465
<b>Olmos, Paula</b>	Correction to: Revisiting Accounts of Narrative Explanation in the Sciences: Some Clarifications from Contemporary Argumentation Theory.	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 4	p467-467
<b>Olmos, Paula; Vega, Luis</b>	The Use of the Script Concept in Argumentation Theory.	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 4	p415-426
<b>Orkibi, Eithan</b>	Precedential Ad Hominem in Polemical Exchange: Examples from the Israeli Political Debate.	2018	Vol. 32	Issue 4	p485-499
<b>Orlandini, Anna</b>	Logical, Semantic and Cultural Paradoxes.	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 1	p65-86
<b>Oswald, Steve; Rihs, Alain</b>	Metaphor as Argument: Rhetorical and Epistemic Advantages of Extended Metaphors.	2014	Vol. 28	Issue 2	p133-159
<b>Paglieri, Fabio</b>	Nothing Persuades Like Success: Reflections on Partially and Over-Successful Persuasion. A Reply to Debowska-Kozłowska. Comment to: Processing Topics from the Beneficial Cognitive Model in Partially and Over-Successful Persuasion Dialogues.	2014	Vol. 28	Issue 3	p341-348
<b>Paglieri, Fabio; Woods, John</b>	Enthymemes: From Reconstruction to Understanding.	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 2	p127-139
<b>Palmieri, Rudi; Mazzali-Lurati, Sabrina</b>	Multiple Audiences as Text Stakeholders: A Conceptual Framework for Analyzing Complex Rhetorical Situations.	2016	Vol. 30	Issue 4	p467-499
<b>Perez-Miranda, L.A.</b>	Deciding, Planning, and Practical Reasoning: Elements towards a Cognitive Architecture.	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 4	p435-461
<b>Pinto, Robert C.</b>	Marcello Pera (1994), The Discourses of Science (translated by Clarissa Botsford). Chicago: University of Chicago Press. (Book Review).	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 1	p60-66
<b>Pinto, Robert C.</b>	Finocchiaro, Maurice A. (2005). Arguments about Arguments: Systematic, Critical and Historical Essays in Logical Theory, New	2007	Vol. 21	Issue 1	p93-100

	York: Cambridge University Press. Pp. ix, 467. (Book Review).				
<b>Pinto, Robert C.</b>	Douglas Walton (2000), <i>Scare Tactics: Arguments that Appeal to Fear and Threats</i> . Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 218 pp. (Book Review).	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 2	p261-270
<b>Pinto, Robert C.</b>	<i>The Uses of Argument in Communicative Contexts</i> .	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 2	p227-252
<b>Plantin, Christian</b>	Schmetz, Roland (2000). <i>L'argumentation selon Perelman. Pour une raison au cœur de la rhétorique</i> . Presses Universitaires de Namur, 369 pp. (Book Review).	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 1	p127-130
<b>Plantin, Christian</b>	J. Anthony Blair: <i>Groundwork in the Theory of Argumentation: Selected Papers of J. Anthony Blair</i> . Introduction by Christopher W. Tindale. <i>Argumentation Library</i> , Vol. 21. Springer, Dordrecht, 2012, XXII + 358 p. (Review).	2015	Vol. 29	Issue 3	p379-388
<b>Plantin, Christian</b>	Dominicy, Marc & Frédéric, Madeleine (2001). <i>La mise en scène des valeurs – la rhétorique de l'éloge et du blâme</i> . Delachaux et Niestlé, 369 pp. (Book Review).	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 3	p351-354
<b>Plantin, Christian</b>	<i>A Place for Figures of Speech in Argumentation Theory</i> .	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 3	p325-337
<b>Plantin, Christian</b>	<i>Persuasion or Alignment?</i>	2012	Vol. 26	Issue 1	p83-97
<b>Plantin, Christian</b>	<i>Argumentation Through Languages and Cultures</i> .	2021	Vol. 35	Issue 1	p1-7
<b>Plantin, Christian</b>	<i>Attack, Defense and Counter-Attack in the Inuit Duel Songs of Ammassalik</i> .	2021	Vol. 35	Issue 1	p51-72
<b>Plötz, Matthias</b>	Hoppmann, Michael J.: <i>Argumentative Verteidigung. Grundlegung zu einer modernen Statuslehre. [Argumentative Advocacy. Foundations of a Modern Stasis Theory.]</i> . 2008. (Book Review).	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 4	p525-526
<b>Plug, H.</b>	<i>Reconstructing and Evaluating Genetic Arguments in Judicial Decisions</i> .	2005	Vol. 19	Issue 4	p447-458
<b>Pluijm, Lester C. van der; Visser, Jacky C.</b>	David Hitchcock and Bart Verheij (eds): <i>Arguing on the Toulmin Model. New Essays in Argument Analysis and Evaluation</i> . Springer, Dordrecht, 2006, vii + 439 pp. (Book Review).	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 4	p527-539
<b>Plumer, Gilbert</b>	<i>Phenomenological Argumentative Structure</i> .	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 2	p173-190
<b>Plumer, Gilbert</b>	<i>Presumptions, Assumptions, and Presuppositions of Ordinary Arguments</i> .	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 3	p469-484
<b>Poel, M. van der</b>	William M. Purcell, <i>Ars poetriae. Rhetorical and Grammatical</i>	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 4	p501-504

	Invention at the Margin of Literacy, Columbia, South Carolina: University of South Carolina Press, 1996. vii; 193 pp. (Book Review).				
<b>Poel, M. van der</b>	Comments on 'Meeting in the House of Callias: Rhetoric and Dialectic'.	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 3	p219-222
<b>Polo, Claire; Plantin, Christian; Lund, Kristine; Nicolai, Gerald</b>	Group Emotions in Collective Reasoning: A Model.	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 2	p301-329
<b>Popescu, Vladimir; Caelen, Jean</b>	Argumentative Ordering of Utterances for Language Generation in Multi-party Human-Computer Dialogue.	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 2	p205-237
<b>Poppel, Lotte van</b>	The Study of Metaphor in Argumentation Theory.	2021	Vol. 35	Issue 1	p177-208
<b>Poulakos, John</b>	Janet M. Atwill, Rhetoric Reclaimed: Aristotle and The Liberal Arts Tradition. Ithaca: Cornell University Press (1998) (xviii + 235 pp). (Book Review).	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 1	p45-47
<b>Prakken, Henry</b>	Zenon Bankowski, Ian White and Ulrike Hahn (eds). Informatics and the Foundations of Legal Reasoning. Law and Philosophy Library, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht/Boston/London, 1995. 374 pages. (Book Reviews).	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 3	p377-380
<b>Prakken, Henry</b>	AI & Law, Logic and Argument Schemes.	2005	Vol. 19	Issue 3	p303-320
<b>Prakken, Henry</b>	Argumentation Without Arguments.	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 2	p171-184
<b>Predelli, Stefano</b>	Think Before You Speak: Utterances and the Logic of Indexicals.	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 4	p445-463
<b>Provis, Chris</b>	Negotiation, Persuasion and Argument.	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 1	p95-112
<b>Puig, Luisa</b>	Doxa and Persuasion in Lexis.	2012	Vol. 26	Issue 1	p127-142
<b>Räikkä, Juha</b>	Burden of Proof Rules in Social Criticism.	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 4	p463-477
<b>Rapp, Christof; Wagner, Tim</b>	On Some Aristotelian Sources of Modern Argumentation Theory.	2013	Vol. 27	Issue 1	p7-30
<b>Reed, Chris</b>	Structured Arguments and Their Aggregation: A Reply to Selinger.	2014	Vol. 28	Issue 3	p395-399
<b>Reed, Chris; Rowe, Glenn</b>	Translating Toulmin Diagrams: Theory Neutrality in Argument Representation.	2005	Vol. 19	Issue 3	p267-286
<b>Reed, David</b>	Classification in Mathematics and Biology: Some Recent Trends.	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 1	p59-66
<b>Rees, M.A. van</b>	The Diagnostic Power of the Stages of Critical Discussion in the Analysis and Evaluation of Problem-Solving Discussions.	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 4	p457-470

<b>Rees, M.A. van</b>	Within Pragma-dialectics: Comments on Bonevac.	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 4	p461-464
<b>Rees, M.A. van</b>	Pragma-Dialectical Analysis and Evaluation of Problem-Solving Discussion.	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 4	p465-479
<b>Rees, M.A. van</b>	Strategic Maneuvering with Dissociation.	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 4	p473-487
<b>Rees, M.A. van</b>	Comments on 'Rhetoric and Dialectic in the Twenty-First Century'.	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 3	p255-259
<b>Rees, M.A. van</b>	Ralph H. Johnson (2000), Manifest Rationality. A Pragmatic Theory of Argument.	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 2	p231-237
<b>Rehg, William</b>	Cogency in Motion: Critical Contextualism and Relevance.	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 1	p39-59
<b>Rehg, William</b>	Evaluating Complex Collaborative Expertise: The Case of Climate Change.	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 3	p385-400
<b>Rescher, Nicholas</b>	The Role of Rhetoric in Rational Argumentation.	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 2	p315-324
<b>Rhode, Conny</b>	The Burden of Proof in Philosophical Persuasion Dialogue.	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 3	p535-554
<b>Ribeiro, Henrique Jales</b>	Returning to the Relations Between Logic and Argumentation, and Other Classic Issues. Manuel Maria Carrilho (ed): La rhétorique CNRS Éditions, Paris, 167 pp. 2012. (Book Review).	2013	Vol. 27	Issue 4	p459-463
<b>Ribeiro, Henrique Jales</b>	Introduction.	2013	Vol. 27	Issue 1	p1-6
<b>Ricco, Robert; Sierra, Anthony</b>	Individual Differences in the Interpretation of Commitment in Argumentation.	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 1	p37-61
<b>Richardson, John; Atkin, Albert</b>	You're Being Unreasonable': Prior and Passing Theories of Critical Discussion.	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 2	p149-166
<b>Rigotti, Eddo</b>	The Nature and Functions of Loci in Agricola's De Inventione Dialectica.	2014	Vol. 28	Issue 1	p19-37
<b>Rigotti, Eddo</b>	Relevance of Context-bound loci to Topical Potential in the Argumentation Stage.	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 4	p519-540
<b>Rigotti, Eddo</b>	Frans H. van Eemeren: Strategic Maneuvering in Argumentative Discourse. Extending the Pragma-Dialectical Theory of Argumentation. John Benjamins Publishing Company, Argumentation in Context (AIC), Vol. 2, Amsterdam/Philadelphia, 2010, XII + 308 pp. (Review).	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 2	p261-270
<b>Rigotti, Eddo; Greco Morasso, Sara</b>	Comparing the Argumentum Model of Topics to Other Contemporary Approaches to Argument Schemes: The Procedural and Material Components.	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 4	p489-512

<b>Ripley, M. Louise</b>	Argumentation Theorists Argue that an Ad is an Argument.	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 4	p507-519
<b>Ritola, J.</b>	Wilson on Circular Arguments.	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 3	p295-312
<b>Ritola, J.</b>	Yet Another Run around the Circle.	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 2	p237-244
<b>Ritola, Juho</b>	Begging the Question: A Case Study.	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 1	p1-20
<b>Ritola, Juho</b>	Jonathan E. Adler and Lance J. Rips (Eds): Reasoning: Studies of Human Inference and Its Foundations. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008, ISBN: 978-0-521-84815-2 (hardback). (Book Review).	2014	Vol. 28	Issue 4	p493-500
<b>Rocci, Andrea</b>	Comments on 'Don't Say That!'.	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 4	p511-517
<b>Rocci, Andrea</b>	Modality and its Conversational Backgrounds in the Reconstruction of Argumentation.	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 2	p165-189
<b>Rocci, Andrea; Zampa, Marta</b>	Peter A. Cramer: Controversy as News Discourse. Springer Science + Business Media, Dordrecht, Heidelberg, London, New York, 2011, Viii + 203 pp. (Book Review).	2013	Vol. 27	Issue 3	p327-336
<b>Rødnes, Kari Anne</b>	Making Connections: Categorisations and Particularisations in Students' Literary Argument.	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 4	p531-546
<b>Roitman, Malin</b>	Constructing One's Arguments Based on Refutations of the Other's Discourse. A Study of the Traditional Presidential Debate: Chirac/Jospin (1995) Versus Sarkozy/Royal (2007).	2015	Vol. 29	Issue 1	p19-32
<b>Roque, Georges</b>	Should Visual Arguments be Propositional in Order to be Arguments?	2015	Vol. 29	Issue 2	p177-195
<b>Ross, Donald; Rossen-Knill, Deborah</b>	Features of Written Argument.	2016	Vol. 30	Issue 2	p181-205
<b>Rossini, Antonio</b>	An Early Renaissance Altarpiece by Domenico Veneziano: A Case of Visual Argumentation?	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 1	39-53
<b>Rowland, Robert C.</b>	Purpose, Argument Fields, and Theoretical Justification.	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 2	p235-250
<b>Rowland, Robert C.</b>	Controversy, Context, and Theory: David Zarefsky on Political Argumentation. (Review).	2016	Vol. 30	Issue 2	p213-215
<b>Rubinelli, Sara</b>	Comments on 'Strategic Maneuvering with Dissociation'.	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 4	p489-493
<b>Rubinelli, Sara</b>	The Ancient Argumentative Game: τόποι and loci in Action.	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 3	p253-272
<b>Rubinelli, Sara; Schulz, Peter J.</b>	"Let Me Tell You Why!". When Argumentation in Doctor-Patient Interaction Makes a Difference.	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 3	p353-375
<b>Rühl, Marco</b>	The Revelation Argument. A 'Communicational Fallacy'.	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 1	p73-96

<b>Rühl, Marco</b>	Emergent vs. Dogmatic Arguing.	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 2	p151-172
<b>Sagüillo, José</b>	Vega Reñón, Luis (2003): Si de argumentar se trata. [If to argue is what matters]. Barcelona: Montesinos/Biblioteca de Divulgación Temática 76. 305 pp.	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 1	p129-132
<b>Sahlane, Ahmed</b>	Argumentation and Fallacy in the Justification of the 2003 War on Iraq.	2012	Vol. 26	Issue 4	p459-488
<b>Salazar, Philippe-Joseph</b>	Arguing Terror.	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 1	p101-115
<b>Sandvik, Margareth</b>	Reconstructing Interactive Argumentative Discourse.	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 4	p419-434
<b>Sartor, Giovanni</b>	Reasoning with Factors.	2005	Vol. 19	Issue 4	p417-432
<b>Schellens, Peter Jan; De Jong, Menno</b>	Argumentation Schemes in Persuasive Brochures.	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 3	p295-324
<b>Schellens, Peter Jan; Šorm, Ester; Timmers, Rian; Hoeken, Hans</b>	Laypeople's Evaluation of Arguments: Are Criteria for Argument Quality Scheme-Specific?	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 4	p681-703
<b>Schiappa, Edward</b>	Analyzing Argumentative Discourse from a Rhetorical Perspective: Defining 'Person' and 'Human Life' in Constitutional Disputes Over Abortion.	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 3	p315-332
<b>Schulz, Peter</b>	Comment on 'Constrained Maneuvering: Rhetoric as a Rational Enterprise'.	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 4	p467-471
<b>Schulz, Peter J.</b>	Comments on 'Strategic Manoeuvring with the Intention of the Legislator in the Justification of Judicial Decisions'.	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 3	p355-357
<b>Schulz, Peter J.</b>	Retraction Note to: Comments on 'Strategic Manoeuvring with the Intention of the Legislator in the Justification of Judicial Decisions'.	2015	Vol. 29	Issue 4	p493-493
<b>Schulz, Peter J.</b>	Correction to: Comment on 'Constrained Maneuvering: Rhetoric as a Rational Enterprise'. (Book Review).	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 2	p285-285
<b>Schulz, Peter J.; Rubinelli, Sara</b>	Arguing 'for' the Patient: Informed Consent and Strategic Maneuvering in Doctor–Patient Interaction.	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 3	p423-432
<b>Schulz, Peter J.; Rubinelli, Sara</b>	Erratum to: Arguing 'for' the Patient: Informed Consent and Strategic Maneuvering in Doctor-Patient Interaction.	2015	Vol. 29	Issue 4	p481-491
<b>Schumann, Andrew</b>	Logical Cornerstones of Judaic Argumentation Theory.	2013	Vol. 27	Issue 3	p305-326
<b>Scofield, R.</b>	The Economic, Political, Strategic, and Rhetorical Uses of Simple Constructive Dilemma in Legal Argument.	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 1	p1-14
<b>Scott, Blake D.</b>	Argumentation and the Challenge of Time: Perelman, Temporality, and the Future of Argument.	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 1	p25-37

<b>Scriven, Michael</b>	The Limits of Explication.	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 1	p47-58
<b>Secor, Marie</b>	Mill's Fallacies: Theory and Examples.	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 2	p295-314
<b>Secor, Marie J.</b>	Reconsidering Contentious Argument: Augustus DeMorgan on Fallacy.	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 2	p131-144
<b>Seitz, Fabian</b>	Argumentation Evolved: But How? Coevolution of Coordinated Group Behavior and Reasoning.	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 2	p237-260
<b>Selinger, Marcin</b>	Towards Formal Representation and Evaluation of Arguments.	2014	Vol. 28	Issue 3	p379-393
<b>Shecaira, Fábio Perin; Struchiner, Noel</b>	Legal Audiences.	2018	Vol. 32	Issue 2	p273-291
<b>Shelley, Cameron</b>	Analogy Counterarguments: A Taxonomy for Critical Thinking.	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 2	p223-238
<b>Shenefelt, Michael; White, Heidi</b>	Comment on Roderic A. Girle's 'Proof and Dialogue in Aristotle'.	2016	Vol. 30	Issue 4	p465-466
<b>Shotter, John</b>	Bruce Wayne McKinzie, Objectivity, Communication, and the Foundation of Understanding. New York and London: University Press of America, 1994, p. 158. ISBN 0-8191-9536-7 (cloth); ISBN 0-8191-9537-5 (paper). (Book Review).	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 4	p493-497
<b>Shotter, John</b>	On A Different Ground: From Contests Between Monologues To Dialogical Contest.	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 1	p95-112
<b>Siderits, Mark</b>	Contradiction in Buddhist Argumentation.	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 1	p125-133
<b>Siegel, Harvey</b>	Argument Quality and Cultural Difference.	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 2	p183-202
<b>Siegel, Harvey</b>	Goldman, Alvin I. (1999), Knowledge in a Social World. Oxford: Clarendon Press of Oxford University Press. x + 407 pp. (Book Review Essay).	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 3	p369-382
<b>Siegel, Harvey; Biro, John</b>	Epistemic Normativity, Argumentation, and Fallacies.	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 3	p277-292
<b>Siegel, Harvey; Biro, John</b>	Rationality, Reasonableness, and Critical Rationalism: Problems with the Pragma-dialectical View.	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 2	p191-203
<b>Sigler, J. E.</b>	The New Rhetoric's Concept of Universal Audience, Misconceived.	2015	Vol. 29	Issue 3	p325-349
<b>Simon, Shirley; Richardson, Katherine</b>	Argumentation in School Science: Breaking the Tradition of Authoritative Exposition Through a Pedagogy that Promotes Discussion and Reasoning.	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 4	p469-493
<b>Simosi, Maria</b>	Using Toulmin's Framework for the Analysis of Everyday Argumentation: Some Methodological Considerations.	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 2	p185-202
<b>Sinnott-Armstrong, Walter</b>	From 'Is' to 'Ought' in Moral Epistemology.	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 2	p159-174

<b>Slade, Christina</b>	Seeing Reasons: Visual Argumentation in Advertisements.	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 2	p145-160
<b>Slade, Christina</b>	Reasons to Buy: The Logic of Advertisements.	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 2	p157-178
<b>Slob, Wouter H.</b>	How to Distinguish Good and Bad Arguments: Dialogico-Rhetorical Normativity.	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 2	p179-196
<b>Slot, Pauline</b>	Cornelia Ilie, What Else Can I Tell You? A Pragmatic Study of English Rhetorical Questions as Discursive and Argumentative Acts (1994). Doctoral Dissertation, University of Stockholm (Stockholm Studies in English LXXXII). (Book Review).	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 3	p383-386
<b>Snoeck Henkemans, A. Francisca</b>	State-of-the-Art: The Structure of Argumentation.	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 4	p447-473
<b>Snoeck Henkemans, A. Francisca</b>	Comments On 'Analyzing Argumentative Discourse from a Rhetorical Perspective: Defining "Person" and "Human Life" in Constitutional Disputes Over Abortion'.	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 3	p333-338
<b>Snoeck Henkemans, A. Francisca</b>	Argumentative Patterns in Over-the-Counter Medicine Advertisements.	2016	Vol. 30	Issue 1	p81-95
<b>Snoeck Henkemans, Arnolda Francisca</b>	Manoeuvring Strategically with Praeteritio.	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 3	p339-350
<b>Solomon, Miriam</b>	It Isn't The Thought That Counts.	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 1	p67-75
<b>Souder, Lawrence</b>	What Are We to Think about Thought Experiments?	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 2	p203-218
<b>Spielthener, Georg</b>	Lesser Evil Reasoning and its Pitfalls.	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 2	p139-152
<b>Starmans, Richard</b>	Walton, Douglas N. and Erik C. W. Krabbe, Commitment in Dialogue. Basic Concepts of Interpersonal Reasoning. Albany: State University of New York Press, 1995. (Book Review).	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 1	p139-143
<b>Starmans, Richard</b>	A. Lodder (1998), On Legal Justification and Dialog Games. Maastricht: Dissertation University of Maastricht. (Book Review).	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 2	p195-199
<b>Steinhoff, Uwe</b>	On the Concept, Function, Scope, and Evaluation of Justification(s).	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 2	p79-106
<b>Stenning, Keith</b>	Thomas F. Gordon, The pleadings game. An artificial intelligence model of procedural justice. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1995. (Book Review).	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 1	p144-148
<b>Stevens, Katharina</b>	Case-to-Case Arguments.	2018	Vol. 32	Issue 3	p431-455
<b>Stevens, Katharina</b>	On the Puzzling Death of the Sanctity-of-Life Argument.	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 1	p55-81
<b>Stoianovici, Dragan</b>	Formal Logic vs. Philosophical Argument: Within the Stoic	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 1	p125-133



	Tradition.				
<b>Suits, David B.</b>	Steep Cliff Arguments.	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 2	p127-138
<b>Suzuki, Takeshi</b>	The Cardinal Principles of the National Entity of Japan: A Rhetoric of Ideological Pronouncement.	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 3	p251-266
<b>Svačinová, Iva</b>	The Thesis of the Effectiveness of Quasi-logical Arguments.	2019	Vol. 33	Issue 1	p75-106
<b>Svačinová, Iva</b>	Pragma-Dialectical Reconstruction of Crisis Diary-Writing as a Communicative Activity Type.	2021	Vol. 35	Issue 2	p237-264
<b>Svoboda, Vladimír</b>	On Defining 'Argument': Comments on Goodman.	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 4	p537-542
<b>Svoboda, Vladimír; Peregrin, Jaroslav</b>	Logically Incorrect Arguments.	2016	Vol. 30	Issue 3	p263-287
<b>Tahin, Gabor</b>	Rhetorical Heuristics: Probabilistic Strategies in Complex Oratorical Arguments.	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 1	p1-21
<b>Talisse, Robert; Aikin, Scott</b>	Two Forms of the Straw Man.	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 3	p345-352
<b>Theodorakakou, A.</b>	What is at Issue in Argumentation? Judgment in the Hellenistic Doctrine of Krinomenon.	2005	Vol. 19	Issue 2	p239-250
<b>Thompson, Mark Andrew</b>	Institutional Argumentation and Institutional Rules: Effects of Interactive Asymmetry on Argumentation in Institutional Contexts.	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 1	p1-21
<b>Tillemans, Tom J. F.</b>	Introduction: Buddhist Argumentation.	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 1	p1-14
<b>Tillemans, Tom J. F.</b>	Reason, Irrationality and Akrasia (Weakness of the Will) in Buddhism: Reflections upon Śāntideva's Arguments with Himself.	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 1	p149-163
<b>Tindale, Christopher</b>	Josina M. Makau and Debian L. Marty (eds.), Cooperative Argumentation: A Model of Deliberative Community (2001). Waveland Press, Inc., Prospect Heights, Illinois, 295 pp. Paper: ISBN 1-57766-139-7, US \$19.95. (Book Review).	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 2	p257-262
<b>Tindale, Christopher</b>	Constrained Maneuvering: Rhetoric as a Rational Enterprise.	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 4	p447-466
<b>Tindale, Christopher W.</b>	Introduction: Of Place and Time.	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 1	p1-11
<b>Tindale, Christopher W.</b>	Fallacies, Blunders, and Dialogue Shifts: Walton's Contributions to the Fallacy Debate.	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 3	p341-354
<b>Tindale, Christopher W.</b>	A Concept Divided: Ralph Johnson's Definition of Argument.	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 3	p299-310
<b>Tindale, Christopher W.</b>	Character and Knowledge: Learning from the Speech of Experts.	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 3	p341-353

<b>Tindale, Christopher W.</b>	David Hitchcock (2017): On Reasoning and Argument: Essays in Informal Logic and Critical Thinking. Cham (CH), Springer, (= Argumentation Library, 30) pp, xxvi, 1–553. Foreword by J. Anthony Blair. (Book Review).	2018	Vol. 32	Issue 4	p615-620
<b>Tindale, Christopher W.; Hansen, Hans V.</b>	Introduction.	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 2	p141-146
<b>Tomić, Taeda</b>	False Dilemma: A Systematic Exposition.	2013	Vol. 27	Issue 4	p347-368
<b>Tonnard, Yvon</b>	Comments on 'Strategic Maneuvering in Political Argumentation'.	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 3	p331-334
<b>Trouche, Emmanuel; Shao, Jing; Mercier, Hugo</b>	Objective Evaluation of Demonstrative Arguments.	2019	Vol. 33	Issue 1	p23-43
<b>Truncellito, D. A.</b>	Running in Circles about Begging the Question.	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 3	p325-330
<b>Tseronis, Assimakis</b>	From Connectives to Argumentative Markers: A Quest for Markers of Argumentative Moves and of Related Aspects of Argumentative Discourse.	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 4	p427-447
<b>Tseronis, Assimakis</b>	Christian Plantin: Dictionnaire de l'Argumentation. Une Introduction aux Études d'Argumentation. ENS Éditions, Lyon, 2016. (Review).	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 4	p727-734
<b>Turner, Stephen</b>	Teaching Subtlety of Thought: The Lessons of 'Contextualism'.	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 1	p77-95
<b>Twining, William</b>	Eveline T. Feteris, Fundamental of Legal Argumentation: A Survey of Theories of Justification of Judicial Decisions (1999).	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 2	p223-230
<b>Uckelman, Sara L.</b>	Medieval Disputationes de obligationibus as Formal Dialogue Systems.	2013	Vol. 27	Issue 2	p143-166
<b>Vassiliev, Lev G.</b>	Rational Comprehension of Arguments in Theoretical Texts: A Program for an Argumentative-Linguistic Approach.	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 1	p21-34
<b>Veber, Michael</b>	People Who Argue Ad Hominem Are Jerks' and Other Self-Fulfilling Fallacies.	2012	Vol. 26	Issue 2	p201-212
<b>Vedder, Ineke</b>	Elisa Bussi, Marina Bondi and Francesca Gatta (eds.) (1995), Understanding Argument. La logica informale del discorso, Atti del Convegno Forlì, 5–6 dicembre. Biblioteca della Scuola Superiore di Lingue Moderne per Interpreti e Traduttori. Bologna. (Book Review).	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 1	p56-61
<b>Vega Renon, Luis</b>	Aristotle's Endoxa and Plausible Argumentation.	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 1	p95-114
<b>Vélez-Posada, Andrés</b>	Place as Argument.	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 1	p13-23
<b>Verbiest, Agnes</b>	Molly Meijer Wertheimer (ed.), Listening to Their Voices: The	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 2	p238-242

	Rhetorical Activities of Historical Women (1997). Columbia, South Carolina, U.S.A.: University of South Carolina Press, ISBN 1-57003-171-1/1-57003-172-X (pbk) (408 pp.). (Book Review).				
<b>Verbrugge, Sara; Smessaert, Hans</b>	On the Argumentative Strength of Indirect Inferential Conditionals.	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 3	p337-362
<b>Verheij, Bart</b>	Evaluating Arguments Based on Toulmin’s Scheme.	2005	Vol. 19	Issue 3	p347-371
<b>Villadsen, Lisa S.</b>	Progress, but Slow Going: Public Argument in the Forging of Collective Norms.	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 3	p325-337
<b>Visser, J. C.</b>	Bakó, Bernáth, Biróné Kaszás, Györgyjakab and Horváth (eds): Argumentor, Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Argumentation and Rhetoric. (Book Review).	2013	Vol. 27	Issue 3	p345-346
<b>Visser, Jacky</b>	Speech Acts in a Dialogue Game Formalisation of Critical Discussion.	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 2	p245-266
<b>Visser, Jacky; Lawrence, John; Reed, Chris; Wagemans, Jean; Walton, Douglas.</b>	Annotating Argument Schemes.	2021	Vol. 35	Issue 1	p101-139
<b>Vorobej, Mark</b>	D. N. Walton, Argument Structure, A pragmatic Theory. Toronto: University of Toronto Press: 1996, xiv + 304 pp. ISBN 0-8020-0768-6. (Book Review).	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 3	p421-424
<b>Vorobej, Mark</b>	Walton, D.N. (1997), Appeal to Expert Opinion: Arguments from Authority. University Park: Penn State Press, pp. xiv–281. ISBN 0-271-01695-7.	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 2	p251-254
<b>Vorobej, Mark</b>	Schlesinger, Izchak, Keren-Portnoy, Tamar and Parush, Tamar (2001). The Structure of Arguments. Amsterdam: John Benjamins Publishing Co., pp. xix + 263. ISBN 90-272-2359-9. (Book Review).	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 3	p399-402
<b>Voss, James F.</b>	Toulmin’s Model and the Solving of Ill-Structured Problems.	2005	Vol. 19	Issue 3	p321-329
<b>Wagemans, Jean H. M.</b>	The Assessment of Argumentation from Expert Opinion.	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 3	p329-339
<b>Wagemans, Jean H. M.</b>	M. A. Finocchiaro, Defending Copernicus and Galileo: Critical Reasoning in the Two Affairs. (Review).	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 2	p271-274
<b>Wagemans, Jean H. M.</b>	M. Spranzi, The art of dialectic between dialogue and rhetoric: The Aristotelian tradition. (Book Review).	2013	Vol. 27	Issue 1	p89-92
<b>Wagemans, Jean H. M.</b>	Review of J. Crosswhite, Deep Rhetoric: Philosophy, Reason, Violence, Justice, Wisdom.	2015	Vol. 29	Issue 4	p475-479
<b>Wagemans, Jean H. M.</b>	Argumentative Patterns for Justifying Scientific Explanations.	2016	Vol. 30	Issue 1	p97-108

<b>Waisanen, Don; Friedman, Hershey H.; Friedman, Linda Weiser</b>	What's So Funny About Arguing with God? A Case for Playful Argumentation from Jewish Literature.	2015	Vol. 29	Issue 1	p57-80
<b>Walton, D. N.</b>	Argumentation Schemes and Historical Origins of the Circumstantial Ad Hominem Argument.	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 3	p359-368
<b>Walton, D.N.</b>	Searching for the Roots of the Circumstantial Ad Hominem.	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 2	p207-222
<b>Walton, Douglas</b>	Metadialogues for Resolving Burden of Proof Disputes.	2007	Vol. 21	Issue 3	p291-316
<b>Walton, Douglas</b>	Building a System for Finding Objections to an Argument.	2012	Vol. 26	Issue 3	p369-391
<b>Walton, Douglas</b>	Speech Acts and Indirect Threats in Ad Baculum Arguments. A Reply to Budzynska and Witek. Comment to: Non-Inferential Aspects of Ad Hominem and Ad Baculum.	2014	Vol. 28	Issue 3	p317-324
<b>Walton, Douglas</b>	Some Artificial Intelligence Tools for Argument Evaluation: An Introduction.	2016	Vol. 30	Issue 3	p317-340
<b>Walton, Douglas</b>	The Appeal to Ignorance, or Argumentum Ad Ignorantiam.	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 4	p367-377
<b>Walton, Douglas</b>	The Fallacy of Many Questions: On the Notions of Complexity, Loadedness and Unfair Entrapment in Interrogative Theory.	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 4	p379-383
<b>Walton, Douglas</b>	Francis Bacon: Human Bias and the Four Idols.	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 4	p385-389
<b>Walton, Douglas</b>	Peter Ramus.	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 4	p391-392
<b>Walton, Douglas</b>	Historical Origins of Argumentum ad Consequentiam.	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 3	p251-264
<b>Walton, Douglas</b>	Rethinking the Fallacy of Hasty Generalization.	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 2	p161-182
<b>Walton, Douglas</b>	Profiles of Dialogue for Evaluating Arguments from Ignorance.	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 1	p53-72
<b>Walton, Douglas</b>	File of Fallacies: Alfred Sidgwick: A Little-Known Precursor of Informal Logic and Argumentation.	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 2	p175-180
<b>Walton, Douglas</b>	The Sunk Costs Fallacy or Argument from Waste.	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 4	p473-504
<b>Walton, Douglas</b>	Deceptive Arguments Containing Persuasive Language and Persuasive Definitions.	2005	Vol. 19	Issue 2	p159-186
<b>Walton, Douglas</b>	Begging the Question in Arguments Based on Testimony.	2005	Vol. 19	Issue 1	p85-113
<b>Walton, Douglas</b>	Plausible Argumentation in Eikotic Arguments: The Ancient Weak Versus Strong Man Example.	2019	Vol. 33	Issue 1	p45-74
<b>Walton, Douglas N.</b>	Poisoning the Well.	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 3	p273-307
<b>Walton, Douglas; Gordon, Thomas F.</b>	How Computational Tools Can Help Rhetoric and Informal Logic with Argument Invention.	2019	Vol. 33	Issue 2	p269-295

<b>Walton, Douglas; Macagno, Fabrizio</b>	The Fallaciousness of Threats: Character and Ad Baculum.	2007	Vol. 21	Issue 1	p63-81
<b>Walton, Douglas; Macagno, Fabrizio</b>	Wrenching from Context: The Manipulation of Commitments.	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 3	p283-317
<b>Walton, Douglas; Macagno, Fabrizio</b>	Reasoning from Classifications and Definitions.	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 1	p81-107
<b>Walton, Douglas; Reed, Chris</b>	Evaluating Corroborative Evidence.	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 4	p531-553
<b>Walton, Douglas; Sartor, Giovanni</b>	Teleological Justification of Argumentation Schemes.	2013	Vol. 27	Issue 2	p111-142
<b>Walton, Douglas; Tindale, Christopher W.; Gordon, Thomas F.</b>	Applying Recent Argumentation Methods to Some Ancient Examples of Plausible Reasoning.	2014	Vol. 28	Issue 1	p85-119
<b>Walton, Douglas; Toniolo, Alice; Norman, Timothy J.</b>	Dialectical Models of Deliberation, Problem Solving and Decision Making.	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 2	p163-205
<b>Wang, Jianfeng</b>	Place, Image and Argument: The Physical and Nonphysical Dimensions of a Collective Ethos.	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 1	p83-99
<b>Ward, Chuck; Gimbel, Steven</b>	Retroductive Analogy: How to and How Not to Make Claims of Good Reasons to Believe in Evolutionary and Anti-Evolutionary Hypotheses.	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 1	p71-84
<b>Warnick, Barbara</b>	Michael A. Gilbert and Lawrence Erlbaum, Coalescent Argumentation. Mahwah, NJ, 1997. (Book Review).	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 3	p428-430
<b>Warnick, Barbara</b>	Rehabilitating AI: Argument Loci and the Case for Artificial Intelligence.	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 2	p149-170
<b>Warnick, Barbara; Manusov, Valerie</b>	The Organization of Justificatory Discourse in Interaction: A Comparison Within and Across Cultures.	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 4	p381-404
<b>Weber, Todd Bernard</b>	The Moral Dilemmas Debate, Deontic Logic, and the Impotence of Argument.	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 4	p459-472
<b>Weger, Harry</b>	Frans H. van Eemeren and Bart Garssen (eds): Pondering on Problems of Argumentation: Twenty Essays on Theoretical Issues. (Review).	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 1	p115-122
<b>Weger, Harry</b>	Dale Hample: Interpersonal Arguing. Peter Lang Publishing, 305 pp. (Book Review).	2019	Vol. 33	Issue 4	p605-609
<b>Weger, Jr., Harry</b>	Pragma-dialectical Theory and Interpersonal Interaction Outcomes: Unproductive Interpersonal Behavior as Violations of Rules for Critical Discussion.	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 3	p313-330
<b>Weger, Jr., Harry</b>	Scrutinizing Argumentation in Practice. Frans H. van Eemeren and Bart Garssen (eds): Amsterdam, NL: John Benjamins Publishing Company, 342 pp, \$149.00 (USD), Hardcover.	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 1	p209-211

	(Review).				
<b>Weigand, Edda</b>	Argumentation: The Mixed Game.	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 1	p59-87
<b>Weinstein, Mark</b>	Arguing Towards Truth: The Case of the Periodic Table.	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 2	p185-197
<b>Westerman, Pauline</b>	Arguing About Goals: The Diminishing Scope of Legal Reasoning.	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 2	p211-226
<b>Whaley, Bryan B.</b>	Evaluations of Rebuttal Analogy Users: Ethical and Competence Considerations.	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 3	p351-366
<b>Wieland, Jan</b>	On Gratton's Infinite Regress Arguments. (Review).	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 1	p107-113
<b>Wieland, Jan Willem</b>	Regress Argument Reconstruction.	2012	Vol. 26	Issue 4	p489-503
<b>Wilbanks, Jan J.</b>	Defining Deduction, Induction, and Validity.	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 1	p107-124
<b>Willard, Charles Arthur</b>	Tindale, Christopher W. (2000). Acts of Arguing: A Rhetorical Model of Argument. Albany, NY: State University of New York Press. ISBN 0791443884 (245 pp.). (Book Review).	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 4	p505-506
<b>Williams, David Cratis; Ishiyama, John T.; Young, Marilyn J.; Launer, Michael K.</b>	The Role of Public Argument in Emerging Democracies: A Case Study of the 12 December 1993 Elections in the Russian Federation.	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 2	p179-194
<b>Winkler, Carol</b>	Encroachments on State Sovereignty: The Argumentation Strategies of the George W. Bush Administration.	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 4	p473-488
<b>Wohlrapp, Harald</b>	A New Light on Non-deductive Argumentation Schemes.	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 3	p341-350
<b>Wolf, Simon</b>	A System of Argumentation Forms in Aristotle.	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 1	p19-40
<b>Woods, John</b>	Richard Starmans (1996), Logic, Argument, and Commonsense. Tilburg: Tilburg University Print. (Book Review).	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 4	p399-401
<b>Woods, John</b>	Speaking Your Mind: Large Inarticulateness Constitutional and Circumstantial.	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 1	p59-80
<b>Woods, John</b>	Obituary: In Memoriam.	2019	Vol. 33	Issue 4	p459-463
<b>Woods, John</b>	Argumentum ad baculum.	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 4	p493-504
<b>Woods, John</b>	Angustus DeMorgan (1806--1871).	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 4	p393-397
<b>Woods, John</b>	John Stuart Mill (1806--1873).	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 3	p317-334
<b>Woods, John</b>	Aristotle (384--322 B.C.).	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 2	p203-220
<b>Woods, John</b>	Slippery Slopes and Collapsing Taboos.	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 2	p107-134

<b>Woods, John</b>	Antoine Arnauld (1612--1694).	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 1	p31-44
<b>Woods, John</b>	Walton, Douglas (1998). Ad Hominem Arguments. Tuscaloosa: University of Alabama Press. (Book Review).	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 4	p503-507
<b>Woods, John</b>	Adler Jonathan E. (2002), Belief's Own Ethics Cambridge, MA: MIT Press. Pp xv+357. H/b \$45.00. (Book Review).	2005	Vol. 19	Issue 2	p251-253
<b>Woods, John</b>	Agendas, Relevance and Dialogic Ascent.	2007	Vol. 21	Issue 3	p209-221
<b>Wreen, Michael</b>	Douglas Walton (1995) Arguments from Ignorance, University Park, PA, The Pennsylvania State University Press, xii & 313 pp. (Book Review).	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 1	p51-55
<b>Wright, Larry</b>	Justification, Discovery, Reason & Argument.	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 1	p97-104
<b>Wright, Larry</b>	Reasoning and Explaining.	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 1	p33-46
<b>Wu, Peng</b>	Frans H. van Eemeren: Argumentation Theory: A Pragmatic-Dialectical Perspective. Springer Nature Switzerland AG, Cham, 2018, Volume 33 of the Argumentation Library, 193 pp, ISBN: 1566-7650. (Book Review).	2018	Vol. 32	Issue 4	p609-614
<b>Wu, Peng</b>	"I Have No Comment": Confrontational Maneuvering by Declaring a Standpoint Unallowed or Indisputable in Spokespersons' Argumentative Replies at the Regular Press Conferences of China's Ministry of Foreign Affairs.	2019	Vol. 33	Issue 4	p489-519
<b>Wu, Peng</b>	Confrontational Maneuvering by Dissociation in Spokespersons' Argumentative Replies at the Press Conferences of China's Ministry of Foreign Affairs.	2019	Vol. 33	Issue 1	p1-22
<b>Xie, Yun</b>	Argument by Analogy in Ancient China.	2019	Vol. 33	Issue 3	p323-347
<b>Xie, Yun; Hample, Dale; Wang, Xiaoli</b>	A Cross-Cultural Analysis of Argument Predispositions in China: Argumentativeness, Verbal Aggressiveness, Argument Frames, and Personalization of Conflict.	2015	Vol. 29	Issue 3	p265-284
<b>Xiong, Minghui; Yan, Linqiong</b>	Mencius's Strategies of Political Argumentation.	2019	Vol. 33	Issue 3	p365-389
<b>Xiong, Minghui; Zenker, Frank</b>	Legal Facts in Argumentation-Based Litigation Games.	2018	Vol. 32	Issue 2	p197-211
<b>Yakubu, Yussif</b>	Definite Descriptions in Argument: Gettier's Ten-Coins Example.	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 2	p261-274
<b>Yameng Liu, L.A.</b>	Unintelligibility or Defeat: The Issue of Engagement in Philosophical Debates.	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 4	p479-491
<b>Yan, Lin-qiong; Ming- hui, Xiong</b>	Refutational Strategies in Mencius's Argumentative Discourse	2019	Vol. 33	Issue 4	p541-578

	on Human Nature.				
<b>Yanoshevsky, Galia</b>	Perelman’s Audience Revisted: Towards the Construction of a New Type of Audience.	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 3	p409-419
<b>Yap, Audrey</b>	Ad Hominem Fallacies, Bias, and Testimony.	2013	Vol. 27	Issue 2	p97-109
<b>Young, Marilyn J.; Launer, Michael K.</b>	The Final Days: The Development of Argumentative Discourse in the Soviet Union.	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 4	p443-458
<b>Yu, Shiyang; Zenker, Frank</b>	Peirce Knew Why Abduction Isn’t IBE—A Scheme and Critical Questions for Abductive Argument.	2018	Vol. 32	Issue 4	p569-587
<b>Yu, Shiyang; Zenker, Frank</b>	Schemes, Critical Questions, and Complete Argument Evaluation.	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 4	p469-498
<b>Yu, Tzu-Hsiang; Wen, Wei-Chun</b>	Monologic and Dialogic Styles of Argumentation: A Bakhtinian Analysis of Academic Debates between Mainland China and Taiwan.	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 3	p369-380
<b>Yuan, Xinhua</b>	Steve Oswald, Thierry Herman and Jérôme Jacquin (eds.): Argumentation and Language-Linguistic, Cognitive and Discursive Explorations. Springer Nature Switzerland AG, Cham, 2018, Volume 32 of the Argumentation Library, viii+299 pp, \$67.28, ISBN: 1566-7650. (Book Review).	2019	Vol. 33	Issue 4	p611-616
<b>Zárate-Ruiz, Arturo</b>	Don Paul Abbott, Rhetoric in the New World. Rhetorical Theory and Practice in Colonial Spanish America. Columbia, SC: University of South Carolina Press, 1996. (Book Review).	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 3	p425-427
<b>Zarefsky, David</b>	Strategic Maneuvering through Persuasive Definitions: Implications for Dialectic and Rhetoric.	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 4	p399-416
<b>Zarefsky, David</b>	Strategic Maneuvering in Political Argumentation.	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 3	p317-330
<b>Zarefsky, David</b>	Comments on ‘Strategic Maneuvering in Question Time in the British House of Commons’.	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 3	p395-397
<b>Zarefsky, David</b>	Underlying Assumptions of Examining Argumentation Rhetorically.	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 3	p297-309
<b>Zarefsky, David</b>	Obituary: Michael Leff (1941–2010).	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 3	p403-404
<b>Zemel, Alan</b>	The Passover Haggadah as Argument, Or Why Is This Text Different from Other Texts?	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 1	p57-78
<b>Zempen, Gabor</b>	Controversies on, in, Around, and About the Subject. Barrotta P. & Dascal M. (eds): Controversies and Subjectivity. Italian Culture Institute in London, University of Pisa/Tel Aviv.	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 1	p115-121



	University Controversies 1, 2005. x, 411 pp. ISBN 978 90 272 1881 0/ EUR 125.00, ISBN 978 1 58811 615 4/USD 169.00. (Book Review).				
<b>Zenker, Frank</b>	Monotonicity and Reasoning with Exceptions.	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 2	p227-236
<b>Zenker, Frank</b>	Parmenides as Secret Hero. Gregor Betz's Theorie Dialektischer Strukturen (Theory of Dialectical Structures). Vittorio Klostermann, Frankfurt am Main, 2010, 292 pp, ISBN: 978-3-465-03629-6, EUR 49.00 (Bound Paperback). (Book Review).	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 4	p513-525
<b>Zenker, Frank</b>	Experts and Bias: When is the Interest-Based Objection to Expert Argumentation Sound?	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 3	p355-370
<b>Zhang, Chuanrui; Xu, Cihua</b>	Argument by Multimodal Metaphor as Strategic Maneuvering in TV Commercials: A Case Study.	2018	Vol. 32	Issue 4	p501-517
<b>Zhong, Haixia</b>	Sharon Bailin and Mark Battersby: Reason in the Balance: An Inquiry Approach to Critical Thinking. 2nd Edition, Hackett Publishing Company, March 2016, 488 pp. (Book Review).	2019	Vol. 33	Issue 2	p301-305
<b>Zompetti, J.</b>	The Value of Topoi.	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 1	p15-28
<b>Zompetti, J. P.</b>	The Role of Advocacy in Civil Society.	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 2	p167-183
<b>Zulick, Margaret D.</b>	The Normative, the Proper, and the Sublime: Notes on the Use of Figure and Emotion in Prophetic Argument.	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 4	p481-492